June 5, 2024

The Honorable Catherine Blakespear  
Chair, Senate Elections and Constitutional Amendments Committee  
1020 N Street, Room 533  
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Assembly Constitutional Amendment 6 (Haney)  
Scheduled for hearing in the Senate Elections and Constitutional Amendments Committee, June 11, 2024

Position: OPPOSE

Dear Chair Blakespear:

Writing on behalf on the systemwide Academic Senate of the University of California (UC), we respectfully oppose Assembly Constitutional Amendment 6 (ACA 6). While the proposed legislation is well-intentioned and aims to extend certain labor protections to UC employees, it raises several serious concerns from the faculty perspective. In their opposition letter, the UC Office of the President has clarified why most of the proposed protections are redundant and how the missions of the University are compromised by aspects of the amendment. We too are deeply concerned about a constitutional amendment that would set a precedent that runs counter to UC’s autonomy and would also hold the University to a different standard than other higher education institutions in the state. As chair of the UC Academic Senate, I highlight those aspects that will have deleterious effects on faculty, students, and other members of our academic community who receive training and mentoring from faculty.

UC faculty have several interrelated missions: instruction, research and creative endeavors, and public service. The framework specifically developed for state employees that would be imposed on all UC employees, including faculty, under the proposed amendment is ill-suited to the unique type of work that faculty do and how they dynamically balance these missions. The instructional calendar includes ebbs and flows of intensity not only throughout the year but within a given academic term. Research and creative activity follow their own and various patterns, whether undertaking field or archival work, directing or participating in a performance, conducting an experiment overnight, undertaking time-intensive research while on sabbatical, and so forth. This lack of suitable fit is also largely true for our graduate trainees, especially those who hold teaching assistantships and research appointments and who must simultaneously, in their primary role as students, have sufficient flexibility to manage their academic progress.
The proposed legislation also puts at risk the faculty’s ability to carry out important research collaborations. For example, many faculty research projects involve co-primary investigators and subcontractors with other institutions, both in and out of state. If a state-wide review of such collaborations and contracts were necessary, this would severely impair the efficiency and competitive position of the UC research enterprise. It should be noted that unfettered collaboration is critical to the success of our multilocation UC-managed National Laboratories.

Under ACA 6, UC faculty and student trainees would lose the necessary flexibility to efficiently and appropriately allocate our work time and to effectively manage our research endeavors. This, in turn, will have a negative impact on the University’s research productivity and teaching excellence. The proposed legislation, impairing how faculty organize their own time and labor, would strike at the heart of UC’s missions and at the entire faculty’s academic freedom insofar as it will impede our ability to deliver our best work in service to the state.

Sincerely,

James Steintrager, Chair
Academic Council

Cc: UC Academic Council Members
President Michael V. Drake
Provost & Executive Vice President of Academic Affairs Katherine Newman
Associate Vice President & Director of State Governmental Relations Kathleen Fullerton