I. Chair’s Report/Announcements – Chair Jonathan Alexander
   • Welcome and Introductions
   • Overview of Committee Charge, Processes, Member Roles and Responsibilities
   • Review of UC/CSU Area ‘b’ English Requirement
   • UCOPE Website

II. Consent Calendar
   • Approval of the Agenda

   ACTION REQUESTED: Approve the agenda as noticed.

III. Analytical Writing Placement Exam Review and Selection of Essay Prompts – George Gadda, UCLA Writing Program

   AWPE Committee Chair George Gadda will lead a discussion on selection of AWSE essay prompts.

IV. Consultation with the Academic Senate Leadership –
   Robert Anderson, Academic Council Chair
   Robert Powell, Academic Council Vice Chair
   Martha Winnacker, Academic Senate Executive Director

   Senate leaders will provide an overview of current issues of concern for the UC system and 2011-12 Academic Senate priorities.
V. Consultation with the President’s Office –
   Evera Spears, Coordinator Evaluation Issues
   Julie Lind, AWPE Analyst
   Kate Jeffery, Interim Director of Admissions/Director of Student Financial Services

   **Evera Spears and Julie Lind** will report on the outcomes of the fall 2011 Analytical Writing Placement Examination and AWPE budget and discuss plans for the May 2012 administration of the Analytical Writing Placement Exam.

   **Kate Jeffery** will lead a discussion of the AWPE financial picture

   *In addition, UC’s involvement with the ACHIEVE project will be discussed. ACHIEVE is an inter-segmental state and national project about “closing the gap” between high school preparation and college and career expectations. Members are asked to peruse the ACHIEVE website at [www.achieve.org](http://www.achieve.org) prior to the meeting.*

VI. Online Instructional Pilot Project (OIPP) Update – Chair Alexander

   Chair Alexander, as one of the co-PIs on a “Writing Module” project, will provide an update and answer questions.

VII. Transfer Proposal Revised DRAFT for BOARS Consideration – Chair Alexander

   *The proposed policy will increase UC’s emphasis on major preparation in transfer admission and provides two new pathways to transfer that parallel the “entitled to review” feature of the 2012 Freshman Admission Policy. UC transfer applicants would be entitled to a review (though not guaranteed admission) if they meet a minimum GPA to be set by each campus and if they complete any one of three proposed pathway options: completion of UC Transfer Curricula; completion of an SB 1440 AA Degree for Transfer; or the current pathway specified in Senate Regulation 476. Each department at each campus will specify courses they want transfer applicants to complete and they will set selection criteria includes the quality of work in these courses. This information will be communicated to prospective students through ASSIST, for which a substantial revision will be implemented by summer 2013. UCOPE has been invited to review and submit comments to BOARS on the revised draft.*

   **ACTION REQUESTED:** Compile UCOPE comments for BOARS.

VIII. UCI AWPE Student Success Study – Chair Alexander

   Chair Alexander will discuss and solicit feedback on the UCI AWPE Student Success Study from UCOPE members.
Last year, Prof. David Smith (UCSC) reported on the discussion at UCSC of a systematic offering of the Mathematics Diagnostic Testing Project exams, which were developed by CSU & UC and are already in use on most campuses, often for placement (including UCSC). The exams are really designed for diagnosis instead of placement, and the results are broken down into topics so that students can find out exactly what they need to work on. The idea is to give these under an official “wrapper” website (bearing UC logos, a welcoming message, etc.) in a fixed time window (like the writing test). The purpose of a fixed time window is to give the students a sense of urgency and have them actually do it instead of putting it off forever. The results would be returned to the students, and:

1. They could be told what they would have placed into at the campus they’re going to (if that campus uses MDTP);
2. The campus could choose to let the students use this iteration of the test for placement if they wanted to (but this is difficult unless the tests are supervised. Doing supervised, sit-down testing around the state, like the writing placement exam, is a separate discussion, which we can also consider, but which would be much more expensive); and/or
3. Based on their detailed results, a list of suggested resources would be automatically mailed to them. This could include a suggestion to take a summer course at CC if possible, or to use some of the modules from ALEKS – Assessment and Learning in Knowledge Spaces (not free, but a bargain), the Khan Academy (free), and other resources TBD (whatever the preparatory math faculty at all the campuses recommend to us).

When the Committee last convened in April 2011, UCOPE members supported this concept and voted to put together a formal proposal to develop the intellectual framework and a written proposal for adopting this concept Systemwide. Links to the tests and the online study resources:

- [http://mdtp.ucsd.edu/](http://mdtp.ucsd.edu/)

**ACTION REQUESTED:** Assign 2-3 members to develop the intellectual framework and prepare a written proposal for adopting this concept Systemwide in time for the next UCOPE meeting on April 27.
X. Discussion of Budget Concerns and the Future of Preparatory Education in the UC System – Chair Alexander and Members

Members will be asked to report on the impact of the budget situation on preparatory English and Math programs on their respective campus, with attention given to any areas of concern for UCOPE that might call for action by the committee in the future.

XI. New Business

**UCOPE 2011-12 Meeting Schedule:**

- January 20, 2012 – Room 5320
- April 27, 2012 – Room 5320