

Minutes of Meeting

Friday, December 16, 2016

Item**I. Consent Calendar**

Approval of the Agenda and the Minutes of October 2016.

Action Taken: *The agenda and minutes were approved as noticed.*

II. Chair's Report

The chair noted that it would be useful for committee members to have an idea about how each campus handles international programs and educational issues. He remarked that it is becoming increasingly important to have CIE representatives at the campuses Senate Council tables. He explained that it is the role of UCIE to provide an interphase between OP and the campuses on initiatives that enhance international education or international engagement. The committee discussed a chart that had been compiled to show CIE leadership and involvement on each of the campuses.

The Chair asked the committee to discuss what each campus considers as the range of issues within the purview of its CIE. Do they go beyond your local EAP (e.g., do they incorporate some consideration of issues raised by the increase in international students on campus)? In addition, what should UCIE do to try to increase graduate participation in EAP? These questions tie in to the larger systemwide discussion: the quality of the international experience is something very critical, and there is a filtering when the CIE committees do not report directly to Council along with the other major committees.

III. International Thinking Day

Vice President Ellis explained that the idea behind International Thinking Day(s) (March 6-7, 2017) is to put aspects of internationalization on the table for discussion. What are the campuses and the labs doing with regard to faculty, research centers, governments and organizations, and facilities (e.g., telescopes)? Some peer institutions are offering joint degrees; does UC want to make use of those mechanisms?

The event would include information about internationalization, global rankings, scholarly outputs; the meeting itself would highlight plenary sessions about some of the "international" activities that take place across the University. Invitations would be extended to the campus chancellors, plus one individual from each campus. Nominations for "plus-one" participants and for discussion topics are being accepted. While this event is being geared at administrators, it is hoped that campuses will follow up with their own conversations afterward. The Chair noted that the outcome of any effort like this is going to depend on how it will filter down through the campuses and that reports of meetings sometimes get buried. The Associate Dean of EAP noted that the UC mission statement does not include any reference to internationalization, international education, etc. EAP Director Nyitray noted that she had suggested the inclusion of such language at a planning meeting some time ago and was continuing to her efforts in that vein.

IV. UCEAP Director's Report

The Director showed the committee a PowerPoint update on the status of EAP. Last year ended with almost 400 more students in the program than the year before. However, the program showed a predictable loss of enrollment this year because of terrorist activities abroad. Some campuses are showing an uptick in applications for the remainder of the academic year. Suspension of programming in Turkey continues to have an impact and will do so through the next academic year.

EAP is putting forward an application for a new initiative: Peace Corps Preparation. Three UC campuses are among the top 25 schools nationwide that have students who go into the Peace Corps. The requirements for the program can be easily incorporated into what is required of students for their majors: three upper-division classes and a language requirement depending on where they want to be placed. It also includes a 50-hour internship/work experience, nearly all of which can be completed while the students are participating in their EAP experience abroad. The program would increase students' chances of being accepted into the Peace Corps. EAP will know the status of this program application by mid-February.

V. UCEAP Associate Dean's Report

The Associate Dean shared a spectrum of data regarding student enrollment by gender, resident status, ethnicity, financial aid status, and year. She also discussed the AI (Academic Integration) Database, a degree audit system that EAP has been coordinating with campus registrars. She said that EAP has been working hard to inform campuses about the aspects of the program and would be happy to review the information with any of the sites via Skype or phone. The Chair asked that she bring to the next meeting a summary report of the highlights that can be shared with the campus CIEs

VI. Consultation with the Academic Senate Leadership

NB: The Vice Chair was not in the meeting due to a conflict with his participation in the Davis campus' chancellor search.

Chair Chalfant remarked that the University had some updates on climate, especially in relation to undocumented members of the campus community. The President and Chancellors sent a letter immediately after the recent national election ensuring the safety of DACA students; in addition, the President wrote a *New York Times* op/ed piece on the same topic. Every campus has an undocumented student coordinator. This person might serve as a good resource for faculty and students. The systemwide Council also wrote a letter of support for the University's undocumented students. The EAP Director commented that the program asked DACA students to come home before the presidential inauguration; in addition, DACA students who decide not to go abroad will not be charged the usual withdrawal fee.

The Chair had a meeting with ICAS and discussed whether to endorse some bills that will be before the Assembly.

The Regents are talking about limiting non-resident (including international student) enrollment. There is a good deal of political furor about non-resident enrollment. The University could perhaps focus on the broader value of students coming here besides the revenue they bring to UC. Most of the talk seems to be getting the most heavily-subscribed campuses below 20 percent non-resident enrollment. In January there will be a conversation about raising tuition two percent for in-state students and five percent for non-residents.

VII. Program Development

A. Exeter College/Oxford University Summer Program

For the first time, Oxford is hosting an “International Summer Program,” and UC has been invited to participate. The program is open to juniors and seniors with a GPA of 3.3 or over. Students take two courses over the summer – the 12 available courses are arranged into six streams; each student is placed in two. The major and minor need to be in the same stream.

Action Taken: The program was approved 8-0-0.

B. University of Nicosia, Cyprus

With a 2.85 GPA, this program is one of the more accessible in EAP. Their requirement is actually 2.5, but EAP raised it to be in line with other immersion programs. Some of the other business-oriented international education programs have much higher GPA requirement, so this would allow a more attractive entry point for many students. The program will be evaluated in three years to see how it fares and the level of student interest.

Action Taken: The program was approved 8-0-0.

VIII. Program Reviews

A. 2015-16 Singapore Three-Year Review Report and Responses

Action Taken: The reviews were approved via email vote.

B. 2016/17 Germany 10-Year Review: Questions for the Review Committee

Action Taken: The questions were approved.

C. 2016/17 Thailand 10-Year Review: Questions for the Review Committee

Action Taken: The questions were approved.

D. 2016/17 Czech Republic Three-Year Review: Questions for the Review Committee

Action Taken: The questions were approved.

IX. Information Items

A. Revised “UCIE Program Review Process and Guidelines”

Action Taken: The revised process and guidelines were approved.

X. Executive Session

A. Program Review Appointments

1. Germany 10-Year review committee (meeting to be held in spring 2017).

Action Taken: Julian Schroeder was appointed as Lead Reviewer.

2. Thailand 10-Year review committee (meeting to be held in spring 2017).

Action Taken: Chair Macagno will appoint a Lead Reviewer before the next meeting.

Czech Republic Three-Year review committee (meeting to be held in Jan/Feb 2017).

Action Taken: Michael Lazarra was appointed as Lead Reviewer.

B. Bylaw 182: Proposed Changes and Current Status

The Chair noted that these are still under consideration.

The meeting adjourned 3:13 p.m.