

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

ACADEMIC SENATE

ACADEMIC COUNCIL
Approved Minutes of Meeting
Wednesday, June 21, 2006
CSUEB – OPD&CC Room 2
9:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

I. Chair's Announcements

• **John Oakley, Academic Council Chair**

Council Chair Oakley welcomed Council members to the meeting, introduced the guests and alternates present, and encouraged members to attend the annual Council Chair's Dinner on July 26, 2006. He then reported on the following items:

AB 992: This bill, which involves UC law enforcement practices, was discussed in the 2004-05 Council session where a position was crafted. The bill was defeated last year yet it has resurfaced, and UCOP is again discussing a possible position in consultation with the Senate. After a brief discussion, Council members agreed to stand behind the 2004-05 Council position.

UCOP and UC Campus Searches: Norman Abrams has been appointed acting Chancellor at UCLA; Jeffrey Blair has been appointed acting General Counsel of The Regents, and Rory Hume has been appointed as Provost and Senior Vice President of Academic Affairs at UCOP. Search committees have begun work to appoint a permanent chancellor at UCLA and UCM, a general counsel, a chief financial officer and chief business or operating officer at UCOP, and a compliance officer of The Regents. Chair Oakley announced his membership on both the CFO and CBO or COO search committees, and his representation of the Senate's interest in maintaining UC's academic nature in the appointment of these two new UCOP positions. Council members then discussed concerns that the CFO and CBO/COO job descriptions were not available, and general Senate involvement in the appointment process. Considerable concern was expressed over the possible role of the "COO" title for someone other than the Provost, since academic affairs are the heart of UC's operations. Members agreed that the Senate has a voice in the appointments, especially concerning the impact on the UC budget, however members were unanimous in supporting Chair Oakley and Vice Chair Brown's leadership and representation of the Senate voice within the current search committee process.

UC Ethics Training: The training rollout is underway and may be released soon due to pressure to implement the recommendations of the Kozberg-Hertzberg Task Force.

UC International Education: Council members received a copy of a June 15, 2006 letter from the co-chairs of the Ad Hoc Committee on International Education regarding progress of the ad hoc committee, and responding to Chair Oakley's June 6, 2006 letter (Distribution 5). Council members expressed concern regarding the letter's dismissal of Council's recommendation to add additional Senate members to the committee. Members then generally agreed to send a forceful response to Provost Hume, reiterating Council's concerns and recommendations in the June 6 letter, as well as the recommendations contained in the UCPB letter on today's consent calendar.

Academic Council Subcommittee on Systemwide Senate Leadership and Office Structure: Vice Chair Brown reported that the subcommittee has met once, and has begun drafting a proposed Senate bylaw addressing the Senate Executive Director position. This proposal is currently under review by UCOP human resources, and should be ready for Council discussion at the July 26 Council meeting.

AB 2168 (Liu): This bill, currently before the state legislature, involves UC transfer preparation curriculum, and the author's concern for multiple transfer curricula across the higher education segments that could lead to restricting students' opportunities to transfer. Vice Chair Brown reported on a letter of opposition being drafted by Intersegmental Committee of Academic Senates (ICAS), which he expects the Senate to support. Council members agreed to oppose AB 2168, and suggested that the Senate also support the enhancement of community college counselors' expertise regarding transfer procedures and processes. One Council member noted the importance of asserting UC's constitutional autonomy over its academic mission and programs, an issue at the heart of this bill.

SB 652 (Scott): This bill is also before the state legislature this session, and involves UC's lower division transfer curriculum. Vice Chair Brown reported that the bill has recently been amended to reflect Senate Regulation 477, and is a positive development for the Senate and UC.

II. Approval of the Agenda

ACTION: The following item will be added to New Business, Item XXIV of the agenda: Council discussion of the diversity numbers at UCLA and UCSD, and the possible appointment of a Council task force to address the issues involved.

ACTION: The Academic Council approved the agenda as noticed.

III. Consent Calendar

1. May 10, 2006 Minutes
2. May 24, 2006 Minutes
3. UCB Diploma Emphasis
4. UCB Request for Name Change for School of Education to Graduate School of Education
5. Intersegmental Committee of Academic Senates (ICAS) – ESL Report
6. Ad Hoc Committee on the Future of International Education at UC
7. The Academic Council Science and Math Initiative Group (SMIG)

ACTION: The Academic Council adopted the consent calendar as noticed.

IV. Implementation of the Academic Council's Proposed Cap on Class Size for University of California Entry Level Writing Classes

- John Oakley, Academic Council Chair

ISSUE: Council is to consider next steps in implementation of the 2004-05 Council-adopted policy to cap class size for UC entry level writing classes.

DISCUSSION: After providing a brief introduction of the issues involved, Chair Oakley updated Council members as to the Office of the Provost's view that the Senate is responsible for implementing a cap on class sizes, and that this is not a systemwide UCOP issue. Members then discussed preferred next steps in going forward with the Council-approved policy, and whether to consult with the Senate divisions on implementation. Some members expressed strong concern for the Provost's seeming unwillingness to deal with this issue rather than simply allocating the needed funding as originally requested by Council. Other members noted that

campuses may already be implementing the policy, budget needs may have changed over the past year, and that this Council action did not go through conventional systemwide Senate review processes last year. Council members then agreed that the best approach would be to codify the policy in an official systemwide Senate regulation, and request updated information and budget data from the campuses via UCOPE and UCEP. One member expressed concern for mandating such a course-specific policy on the campuses, and would rather officially request implementation at the campus level before adopting a systemwide regulation.

ACTION: The issue will be sent back to UCOPE and UCEP to (1) jointly propose a Senate regulation to address the writing class size/20 student per class limit, (2) request an update from the campuses on their progress (if any) in implementing the writing class size limit, and (3) request estimated costs from the campuses for implementation.

V. Proposed Legislative Ruling – The Consistency of Academic Senate Regulation 904 with the Code of the Academic Senate

- John Oakley, Academic Council Chair

ISSUE: At its April 19, 2006 meeting, the Academic Council reviewed UCR&J's draft ruling on Senate Regulation 904 and voted to return it to UCR&J with a request that the committee comment on the validity of SR 904 under Senate Bylaw 20, addressing the conflict of language therein. UCR&J has submitted an amended draft ruling for Council's review and comment.

DISCUSSION: Chair Oakley reported that the proposed legislative ruling is nearly identical to the one previously reviewed by Council except for the insertion of three additional paragraphs. One Council member noted that the changes do not improve the proposed ruling, but that an official objection is not warranted at this time.

ACTION: The Academic Council voted unanimously to concur with the proposed ruling without any further comment.

VI. CAL ISI Review Protocol

- Stan Glantz, UCPB Chair
 - George Sensabaugh, UCORP Chair
1. Cal IT2 Review
 2. Cal ISI Review Process

ISSUES: (1) Provost Hume requested that Council members comment on the upcoming review of Cal IT2; and (2) Council was requested to review the UCORP recommendations on the Cal ISI review process and submit comments. Council is to discuss both items and finalize a response.

DISCUSSION: Chair Oakley proposed that Council discuss both items as a package, to which Council members agreed. Chair Oakley then noted that UCORP's recommendations on the Cal ISI review process had been submitted for comment from Council members in May, and only UCAAD responded with concerns that specific mention of diversity issues be included in the review protocol. UCPB Chair Glantz responded that he and UCORP Chair Sensabaugh reviewed the UCAAD comments, and felt that the review protocol should stand as written for fear of disrupting a process that has taken several years to complete. Further, he noted that Provost Hume has agreed to revisit the review protocol once the first round of reviews are completed, and UCAAD and other parties could raise further issues at that time. UCAAD Vice

Chair Gibor expressed agreement with this plan of action. Lastly, UCPB Chair Glantz pointed out a typographical error in the review process title that should be corrected.

ACTION: The Academic Council voted to (1) endorse the Cal IT2 review, and (2) concur with the UCPB/UCORP letter on the CAL ISI review process as written.

VII. Formal Review of APMs 700, 710, 711 and 080: Proposed Revisions to Academic Personnel Policies Related to Paid Sick Leave, Reasonable Accommodation, Medical Separation and Constructive Resignation

- **John Oakley, Academic Council Chair**

ISSUE: Council is to review divisional and committee responses and determine next steps and/or finalize a response to the formal review of APMs 700, 710, 711 and 080

DISCUSSION: Chair Oakley reviewed with Council members the request made by Council last year for a second round of informal review of the proposed APM policies at issue. This request was declined by Assistant Vice President Switkes. Council members, after discussing the divisional and committee responses to the formal review, expressed serious concerns with going forward with the proposed APMs as currently written. Members then reviewed the APM 700-10 provision concerning “constructive resignation,” and the requests for withdrawal submitted by certain committees and divisions. Sensing numerous grave concerns that require more effort than simple language changes, Chair Oakley then proposed a motion to which Council members unanimously agreed.

ACTION: The Academic Council unanimously endorsed the following plan of action to be communicated to Provost Hume, based on issues of sufficient seriousness to warrant delay, as identified by the Academic Council: (1) call attention to the problem of not honoring the Academic Council’s request last year for a second round of informal review; (2) note the issues and concerns raised in the Academic Council summary memorandum; and (3) request that Provost Hume withdraw the APM proposals now from formal review in favor of a new round of proposed changes to be sent out for informal review in fall 2006.

VIII. The Role of Graduate Students in University Instruction

- **Denise Segura, UCEP Chair**
- **Duncan Linsey, CCGA Chair**

ISSUE: At its March 22, 2006 meeting, Council requested that CCGA and UCEP consider the appropriate degree and manner of the use of graduate students in instruction at UC, as a follow-up item from last year’s Council. UCEP and CCGA have submitted a report and proposal for Council’s approval to send out for systemwide Senate review.

DISCUSSION: UCEP Chair Segura called attention to the CCGA/UCEP report and proposal which calls for a rigorous approach in enlisting graduate students in University instruction consistent with the prerogatives of the Academic Senate. She then reviewed next steps for the report if it is approved by Council today, including required changes to APM policy and Senate Regulation 750 pending systemwide Senate review. Chair Oakley and Council members commended UCEP and CCGA for their excellent report.

ACTION: The Academic Council unanimously voted to send out the CCGA/UCEP report and recommendations for systemwide Senate review.

IX. Proposed Approval of Guiding Principles for Professional School Fees

- **John Oakley, Academic Council Chair**

ISSUE: Provost Hume has asked Council Chair Oakley to opine on the Regents' Proposed Guiding Principles for Professional School Fees, drafted by UCOP for Regental approval at an upcoming meeting, and intended to replace current UC professional school fee policy.

DISCUSSION: Chair Oakley explained to Council members that the Regents' proposed principles, which have not been reviewed by the Academic Senate, was an item originally on the May Regents' agenda, was then postponed to the July meeting, and is now tentatively postponed until the fall. Chair Oakley then reviewed numerous potential problems with the document that the Senate should have an opportunity to comment on. One Council member noted that higher professional school fees are appropriate given the notion that state taxpayer money should not be used to subsidize expected high income earners. Council members discussed the definition of a "professional school," and whether the term includes all "professional schools" or just "professional degrees." Many Council members expressed reservations about the resulting privatization of UC professional schools should The Regents adopt such a professional school fee policy, and concerns about the impact this policy would have on low income students and their ability to not only attend, but their decisions to enter only higher paying fields after graduation. UCB divisional Chair Agogino noted her division's principles on professional school fees as a preferred model for setting this type of policy.

ACTION: The Academic Council unanimously voted to send a letter to President Dynes to transmit to The Regents requesting that there be a suspension of Regental action on this item pending Academic Senate review; that without judging the merits of the existing document, it raises a number of grave issues about education policy and financing that the Academic Senate wishes to address in a systematic and careful way.

X. Consultation with the Office of the President – Senior Management

- **Lawrence C. Hershman, Vice President for Budget**

REPORT: Vice President Hershman reported to Council members on the following items:

State Budget Update: It appears that the Legislature and the Governor are close to a budget deal. The main issue for UC is the structural deficit, which is likely to be \$3 billion in 2007-08. Most of UC's budget requests have been met, however the marginal cost formula is still under debate. UC's budget has already been augmented with \$17.3 million for student academic preparation, plus an extra \$2 million under the California Community College (CCC) initiative regarding student transfer and funding for counselors, and another \$11 million for specific UC research projects. In addition, UC will receive a full COLA, student fee buyout, full student enrollment funding, slightly higher funding for the Science and Mathematics Initiative, and most of its capital funding (assuming passage of the November infrastructure bond package).

2007-08 UC Budget: Vice President Hershman outlined the following main issues for upcoming discussions with the Regents and the Governor: (1) UC's commitment to increasing salaries to competitive market levels, and dispersal of a 5% pot for salary and benefits; (2) enrollment growth target of 2.5%, including the health sciences (5300 students total); (3) progress on the student-faculty ratio; (4) request additional state funding for a new UC research-graduate student support initiative focusing on research on state economic growth and job creation; and (5) continued progress on the state's student fee buyout for upcoming years.

DISCUSSION: Council members discussed with Vice President Hershman issues such as UC's marginal cost formula and its importance to rapidly expanding campuses, and the importance of maintaining the academic nature of UC as the new CFO, CBO or COO and CIO appointments are under consideration. Most of the discussion period was spent on the Senate Memorial on Non-Resident Tuition, and a response and progress update from UCOP and the Regents. Vice President Hershman, noting that a letter from President Dynes to Council is forthcoming, expressed willingness to support a plan as set forth by various Council members as follows: remove non-resident tuition from the general fund and return every dollar to the campus that generated the money, such that it is identified in the campus account as money collected from non-resident tuition to be administered by the graduate dean (or an appropriate person), allowing input from the local Senate. Council expressed strong support for moving forward in implementing this plan in consultation with Council and the Graduate Student Advisory Committee (GSAC).

XI. General Discussion

- **UCFW Members**

ISSUE: Follow-up discussion on issues raised during Council's consultation with senior management.

DISCUSSION: Council members discussed the tentative agreement with Vice President Hershman regarding non-resident tuition. One member expressed concern that the Memorial to the Regents on Non-Resident Tuition was not being fully addressed by senior management. Members agreed, again noting that the Memorial deserves serious attention given that it was passed by 83 percent of the Senate faculty. Another Council member pointed out that the purpose of the Memorial is to eliminate non-resident tuition altogether, not just to create a work-around solution while still collecting funds. Most members agreed, however, that this solution will solve many problems at the campuses and that most faculty will be in favor of it.

SENSE OF THE COUNCIL: If the statutory mandate is real regarding California's prohibition on taxpayers paying for non-resident student tuition, Council will go forward with Vice President Hershman's proposed solution and request that he work with UCPB Chair Glantz, UCD divisional Chair Simmons and UCSB divisional Chair Yuen to include it in the Graduate Student Advisory Committee (GSAC) report with the intent that the Academic Council could endorse an appropriate GSAC report at its July 26 meeting.

XII. Off-Scale Salaries: Criteria and Procedures

- **Anthony Norman, UCAP Chair**

ISSUE: As a result of discussions throughout the 2005-06 year, including at the April 4, 2006 joint Council-Chancellors meeting, Council requested that UCAP address the issue of faculty off-scale salaries. UCAP has submitted a report and proposed implementation steps for Council's consideration and possible submission for systemwide Senate review.

DISCUSSION: UCAP Chair Norman reported on UCAP's work since February 2006 collecting information from local CAP committees, and completing a review of differences in CAP practices in applying merits, and above-scale and off-scale salary awards. Overall, UCAP members discovered that the salary system is confusing and unfair across all campuses, with the possible exception of Berkeley. Because the issue is so broad and complex, UCAP decided to

include in its report only broad principles and policies, including specific emphasis on shared governance principles and the concept of UC as One University, and has left specific details (e.g., estimated costs to fix the salary system) to the proposed implementation work group as informed by comments from the systemwide Senate committees and divisions. Finally, UCAP Chair Norman reported that the report has been endorsed by all ten CAP committees via their respective UCAP members.

Council members applauded the UCAP report, and suggested moving ahead with the report's implementation plan by convening a work group at the same time that the report is distributed for systemwide Senate review. UCLA divisional Chair Lavine noted one missing principle from the UCAP report, that "faculty peer review must play a central role in the determination not only of rank and step, but of salary as well." UCAP Chair Norman accepted this principle and suggested that the implementation work group can consider this principle, but that it not be included in the report as distributed for systemwide Senate review.

ACTION: The Academic Council unanimously voted to distribute the UCAP report for systemwide Senate review with Council's preliminary endorsement for the purpose of effecting the ad hoc working group as outlined in UCAP's implementation step 3. The working group will be established now to begin working on implementation steps, and shall consider adding the following principle to the UCAP document: "Faculty peer review must play a central role in the determination not only of rank and step, but of faculty salaries" (proposed by UCLA, and this will not be part of the systemwide review).

XIII. UCAP Proposed Amendments to APM 220.18(b)

- **Anthony Norman, UCAP Chair**

ISSUE: As a follow-up to Council's March 22, 2006 action to discontinue review of proposed amendments to APM 220 and withdraw its recommended changes to that policy, UCAP has again submitted for Council's consideration a proposed amendment to APM 220.

DISCUSSION: UCAP Chair Norman reported that UCAP has been working on amendments to APM 220 for a few years after noticing differences in how CAP committees are applying the current version of APM 220. UCAP's proposal to change the APM 220 language attempts to acknowledge and make clear that both step VI and above scale are actual, unique barrier steps, and both transitions (i.e., from step V to step VI, and from step IX to above scale) require career review. UCAP also added new language about sustained excellence, international recognition, and broad acclaim, among others.

Council members generally noted that the proposed language enhances the purpose of APM 220. Council then discussed ways to clarify the second and third sentences of the proposed revision, with some members noting that they preferred the ambiguity of the policy as written.

ACTION: The Academic Council voted to add the following language to the proposed APM 220 change: (middle of paragraph 1 of APM 220-18.b.4) – "In addition, great academic distinction recognized nationally or internationally will be required *in at least one of these three categories.*"

ACTION: The Academic Council voted to send out the amended APM 220 language for systemwide Senate review, with an appropriate cover letter focusing on what was approved today, as worked out between Chair Oakley and UCAP Chair Norman.

XIV. 15 Year Review of the Biotechnology Research and Education Program (BREP)

- **Duncan Lindsey, CCGA Chair**
- **Stan Glantz, UCPB Chair**
- **George Sensabaugh, UCORP Chair**

ISSUE: Council is to consider comments submitted by UCORP, UCPB and CCGA concerning the 15-year review of BREP, and make a final recommendation to Vice Provost Coleman.

DISCUSSION: UCPB Chair Glantz reported on UCPB's findings, including that BREP should be disestablished as an MRU because, as currently constituted, it is not the MRU that was approved fifteen years ago. UCORP Chair Sensabaugh then reported that UCORP considered the same issues raised by UCPB, yet recognized the change in BREP as an innovative approach and therefore should be continued as an MRU, at least for a limited amount of time. Council members then briefly discussed an action plan to develop a consensus position to communicate to Vice Provost Coleman.

ACTION: The Academic Council voted to request the UCPB and UCORP Chairs to work out proposed action language on the 15 year review of BREP for Council's consideration in July.

XV. Academic Council Special Committee on the National Labs (ACSCONL) Proposals for UC Faculty and DOE Laboratory Interactions

- **John Oakley, ACSCONL Chair**

ISSUE: At its May 24, 2006 meeting, Council reviewed a draft proposal from ACSCONL regarding the Senate role in UC's management of the national laboratories, and requested that ACSCONL revise the proposal and resubmit it for consideration today.

DISCUSSION: Chair Oakley began the discussion with a brief overview of the events leading up to today's action item, and reported that at the June 20, 2006 ACSCONL meeting, President Dynes made clear that lab management accepts the need for increased communication with faculty and a response to the numerous concerns raised by UCPB in prior memorandums. Chair Oakley also reported that if Council approves the revised ACSCONL proposal today, it will be used as a statement of the Academic Senate until it is considered by the Academic Assembly at its first meeting in the fall. UCPB Chair Glantz raised strong objections to the ACSCONL proposal, repeating UCPB's issues which have still not been addressed by UCOP or lab management, and recommended that Council not act on the proposal until the Senate has a full understanding of the nature of UC's relationship with Los Alamos National Security LLC (LANS LLC) in a public manner. UCPB Chair Glantz also objected to voting on the ACSCONL document, which Council members received at the start of the meeting and may not have had adequate time to study. Some Council members expressed similar concerns and frustration that the LANS LLC contract could not be made public until after the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) contract is released. Other Council members were sympathetic to their concerns, however they expressed support for the ACSCONL proposal as an important step forward in improving communication and Senate involvement with LANS LLC and LLNL, and as helping ACSCONL receive the information it needs. The vote was 10 in favor, 2 opposed, with no absentions.

ACTION: The Academic Council voted to endorse the ACSCONL proposal for transmittal to President Dynes and forwarding to the Regents, and for consideration at the next Academic Assembly meeting in the fall.

XVI. Campus Stratification and Further Discussion of the May Regents' Meeting

- **John Oakley, Academic Council Chair**

ISSUE: At its May 24, 2006 meeting, Council appointed a subgroup of Council members, including Council Vice Chair Brown, Divisional Chairs Janda (UCI) and Simmons (UCD), and Committee Chairs Glantz (UCPB) and Russell (UCFW), to draft a letter to President Dynes for transmittal to The Regents on campus stratification and the Senior Management Group (SMG) slotting structure. Council is to determine next steps concerning this letter.

DISCUSSION: UCD Divisional Chair Simmons provided a brief overview of the events leading up to the drafting of this letter, and the letter's recommendations and findings. He highlighted the request that President Dynes convene a work group to establish an executive compensation slotting system devoid of campus stratification elements, and that the slotting system be widely distributed for Senate comment before it is finalized. Council members applauded the letter and its authors, and suggested one minor revision to the first paragraph.

ACTION: The Academic Council unanimously voted to endorse the proposed letter, with one amendment, for forwarding to President Dynes and eventual transmittal to The Regents. Enclosed with the letter will be a packet including all 2005-06 Council and Assembly statements/documents related to senior management compensation.

XVII. Alignment of the Academic Calendar

- **Adrienne Lavine, UCLA Divisional Chair**

ISSUE: UCLA Divisional Chair Lavine requested that this issue be considered by Council today to gauge members' interest in exploring whether the winter quarter start date could be moved forward in those years when the proposed start date falls on the day immediately following the New Year's holiday.

DISCUSSION: UCLA Divisional Chair Lavine provided an overview of the issue, including that the Academic Council is on record supporting the alignment of all ten camps calendars, yet UCLA has identified the following problem: in six years out of the next thirty years, after New Year's, there is no time between January 1 and the first day of class for adequate class preparation. She also reported that some campuses currently use this calendar, and UCR reported serious problems, while UCSD, UCSB and UCSC say the calendar is working fine. UCLA Divisional Chair Lavine then proposed that the Council ask the campus Registrars to consider whether an amenable calendar could be established to accommodate the problems that exist in the six out of thirty years. Most Council members opposed the idea and the motion failed for reasons including that campuses have already expended a lot of time and effort to comply with the common start date and do not wish to start over again, and that Council should not change its earlier mandate to the campuses to establish a common start date or else Council will appear unreliable.

NO ACTION. MOTION FAILED.

XVIII. Election Process for the Academic Senate/Academic Council Vice Chair

- John Oakley, Academic Council Chair
- Faye Crosby, UCSC Divisional Chair

[Postponed to July 26.]

XIX. Updates from the University Committee on Research Policy (UCORP)

- George Sensabaugh, UCORP Chair
- 1. Systemwide Standards for Institutional Review Boards (IRB)
- 2. Investigation of Possible Influence of Corporate Funding on University Research

[Postponed to July 26.]

XX. University Committee on Education Policy (UCEP) Proposed Recommendations on Summer Session Instruction

- Denise Segura, UCEP Chair

ISSUE: The Academic Planning Council requested that UCEP review a draft of proposed academic guidelines for summer session, prepared by the Office of the Provost. UCEP has submitted its preliminary comments and recommendations for Council's consideration.

DISCUSSION: UCEP Chair Segura introduced UCEP's proposed recommendations on summer session instruction and explained that UCEP intends for the document to be presented to the Academic Planning Council at the upcoming teleconference meeting. UCEP drafted the recommendations as a set of talking points for Provost Hume to consider as he reviews summer session guidelines developed by UCOP, which are presently under review. Council members then commended UCEP on its document and briefly discussed its contents.

ACTION: The Academic Council voted to approve UCEP's preliminary recommendations and talking points for communication to Provost Hume.

XXI. Board of Admissions and Relations with Schools (BOARS)

- Michael Brown, BOARS Chair

[Postponed to July 26.]

XXII. Proposed Amendment to Senate Bylaw 185 (UCOL)

- Committee Chairs

[Postponed to July 26.]

XXIII. Proposed Student Freedom of Scholarly Inquiry Principles (UCAF)

- Committee Chairs

[Postponed to July 26.]

XXIV. New Business

1. Decline in UCLA, UCSD diversity numbers.

[Postponed to July 26.]

Meeting Adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

Attest: John Oakley, Chair, Academic Council
Minutes prepared by: Michelle Ruskofsky, Policy Analyst

Distributions:

1. Academic Council Special Committee on the National Laboratories (ACSCONL), *Proposed Statement of the Academic Council on Interaction Between UC's Faculty and UC-Associated National Laboratories*, June 2006.
2. D.Weiss/J.Oakley email re: comments on the Academic Council Cal ISI Review Process, May 30, 2006.
3. J.Oakley/R.Hume letter re: expanded membership of the Ad Hoc Committee on the Future of International Education at UC, June 6, 2006.
4. D.Lindsey/J.Oakley letter re: UC Berkeley request to add a notation for designated emphases on diplomas at IC, May 19, 2006.
5. F.Burwick & J.Lang/R.Hume & J.Oakley letter re: progress to date by the Ad Hoc Committee on International Education, June 15, 2006.
6. J.Minster/J.Oakley re: UCSD comments on formal review of APMs 700, 710, 711 and 080, June 19, 2006
7. D.Lindsey/J.Oakley re: CCGA comments on UCAF's Student Freedom of Scholarly Inquiry Principles, June 19, 2006.
8. D.Lindsey/J.Oakley re: CCGA's comments on UC-BREP, June 19, 2006.
9. D.Segura/J.Oakley re: Summer Instruction – UCEP preliminary thoughts and recommendations, June 19, 2006.

Attachment: 2005-06 Academic Council Attendance

Academic Council Minutes – June 21, 2006

ACADEMIC COUNCIL Attendance 2005-2006		Key: X=In attendance, \=Absent, Alt=Alternate											
		9/28	10/26	11/30	12/14	1/25	2/22	3/22	4/5	4/19	5/24	6/21	7/26
Officers													
Cliff Brunk, Chair		X	X	X	X	X	X						
John Oakley, VC (Sept-Mar.), Chair		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Michael Brown, Vice Chair									X	X	X	X	
Divisional Chairs													
Alice Agogino	UCB	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	half day X	X	
Dan Simmons	UCD	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Kenneth Janda	UCI	X	X	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	
Adrienne Lavine	UCLA	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Anne Meyers Kelley	UCM	X	X								X		
Roland Winston	UCM			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Manuela Martins-Green	UCR	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Alt	X	X	
Jean-Bernard Minster	UCSD	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	Alt	X	
Deborah Greenspan	UCSF	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Alt	
Walter Yuen	UCSB	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Faye Crosby	UCSC	X	X	X	Alt	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Committee Chairs													
Michael Brown	BOARS	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	
Duncan Lindsey	CCGA	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	
Anthony Norman	UCAP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	
Denise Segura	UCEP	X	Alt	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Raymond Russell	UCFW	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
George Sensabaugh	UCORP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Stan Glantz	UCPB	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Alternates													
William Drummond	UCB										half day X		
Mark Rashid	UCSB							X					
Henry Sanchez	UCEP		X										
Quentin Williams	UCSC				X								
Reen Wu	CCGA								X				
Henry Powell	UCSD								X		X		
Mary Croughan	UCAP								X				
Richard Luben	UCR									X			
Martha Mecartney	UCI					X			X				
David Gardner	UCSF											X	
Guests													
Judith Boyette, AVP - HR&B		X											
Bob Miller, Mercer Human Resource		X	X										
Cathryn Nation, Exec.Dir., Health Aff.		X											
Clint Haden, Dir. Student Affairs		X											
Andrea Gerstenberger, Health Aff.		X											
Anik Hershen, Student Affairs		X											
Randy Scott, Exec.Dir - HR&B			X										

Academic Council Minutes – June 21, 2006

Eric Juline - Regent (Alumni)		X										
Gerald Parsky - Regent				X								
Lawrence Pitts, SCSC Chair				X					X			
Daniel Weiss, UCAAD Chair									X	X		
Gibor Basri, UCAAD Vice Chair									X		X	
Mary-Beth Harhen, UCSC Senate Director				X								
Tamara Maimon, UCSF Senate Director											X	
President & Senior Management												
Robert Dynes, President		X	X	X	X			X	X	X		
M.R.C. Greenwood, Provost	X	X										
Rory Hume, Acting Provost	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X		
Joseph Mullinix, SrVP-B&F		X	X	X	TELE	X						
Bruce Darling, SrVP-UER	X	X		X	X		X		X			
Lawrence Hershman, VP-Budget	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X	X	
Council Staff												
Maria Bertero-Barcelo, Director	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Brenda Foust, Policy Analyst	X		X		X		X	X		X		
Michelle Ruskofsky, Policy Analyst	X	X		X		X			X		X	
Chancellors												
Robert Birgeneau	UCB											
Larry Vanderhoef	UCD							X				
Michael Drake	UCI							X				
Albert Carnesale	UCLA							X				
Frances Cordova	UCR							X				
Marye Anne Fox	UCSD							X				
Michael Bishop	UCSF							X				
Henry Yang	UCSB							X				
Denice Denton	UCSC							X				
Carol Tomlinson-Keasey	UCM							X				