

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

ACADEMIC SENATE

**ACADEMIC COUNCIL
Minutes of Meeting
Wednesday, July 26, 2006
CSUEB – OPD&CC Room 2
9:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.**

I. Chair's Announcements

- **John Oakley, Academic Council Chair**

Council Chair Oakley welcomed Council members, guests and alternates to the meeting, and provided details regarding tonight's annual Council Chair's Dinner. Chair Oakley then briefly reported on the July Regents' meeting, including actions to restructure the UC Office of the President (UCOP), which will be discussed in full with President Dynes later today. Chair Oakley also provided an update on the multiple senior-level employment searches in progress at UCOP; the convening of an ad hoc committee on scholarly communication, with members including Larry Pitts (UCSF) and Ben Crow (UCSC); and the convening of a task force charged to look at personnel, faculty salaries, and facts surrounding the UCOP budget, with members including Provost Hume, Chair Oakley, and other Senate representatives.

Council Vice Chair Brown reported on The Regents' action to commission a study on the impact of Proposition 209 on UC ten years after its enactment. This scholarly effort will focus on the broad impacts of Proposition 209 on undergraduate and graduate admissions, personnel, faculty and staff, academic and other programs, and planning efforts. Vice Chair Brown also reminded Council members of his membership on two committees charged with implementing the recommendations of the Task Force on UC Compensation, Accountability and Transparency, and encouraged Council members to send him comments for him to relay to the committees.

II. Approval of the Agenda

ACTION: The Academic Council approved the agenda as noticed.

III. Consent Calendar

1. June 21, 2006 Minutes
2. Academic Council Special Committee on Scholarly Communication (SCSC)
 - i. Disestablishment of SCSC
 - ii. SCSC List of Frequently Asked Questions
3. Self-Supporting Professional Graduate Degree Programs
4. Subject ('a-g') Requirement Descriptions
5. 15 Year Review of the Biotechnology Research and Education Program
6. 'In Association With' Degree Proposal (UCIE)
7. UCI and UCR Proposed Law Schools

ACTION: The Academic Council adopted the consent calendar as noticed.

IV. Science Mathematics Initiative Workgroup (SMIG)

- **Alice Agogino, Chair**

ISSUE: The Academic Council is to discuss the future of SMIG and the appointment process for the 2006-07 SMIG leadership.

REPORT: SMIG Chair Agogino reported on SMIG's strong support of the Science Mathematics Initiative (SMI) and the individual nature of each campus initiative, however noting that central coordination support at UCOP is crucial for the success of SMI. In SMIG's view, the biggest issues facing SMI are the retention and distribution of qualified science and mathematics teachers across California. Chair Agogino also reported that SMIG has assisted in drafting a new letter to UC students regarding SMI (distribution 4), which is now under review by the Governor's office.

DISCUSSION: Council members discussed options for appointing and approving the 2006-07 SMIG Chair, and offered recommendations. Further, one member noted a correction on the 2006-07 SMIG membership roster; UCAAD Vice Chair Basri requested review of the SMIG charge and membership to include greater UCAAD involvement in the future; and UCSC Divisional Chair Crosby applauded SMIG and SMIG Chair Agogino's excellent work this year, noting the importance of SMI on her campus and the success of the SMI campus workshops.

SENSE OF THE COUNCIL: **Final selection of the 2006-07 SMIG Chair will be discussed and the leadership will be officially appointed via email by Council members after SMIG Chair Agogino consults with the potential nominees.**

V. Proposed Student Freedom of Scholarly Inquiry Principles (UCAF)

- **Council Members**

ISSUE: Council will discuss UCAF's proposed principles based on committee and divisional comments received during systemwide Senate review.

DISCUSSION: Council Chair Oakley noted his agreement with the recommendation contained in the summary memorandum in the agenda packet (enclosure 10). Council members concurred, adding that a delay in approving UCAF's principles at this time would not be detrimental.

ACTION: **The Academic Council unanimously approved a request for UCAF to consider the adoption of all of the recommendations and suggested amendments to its Proposed Student Freedom of Scholarly Inquiry Principles contained in the summary memorandum, and prepare a revised proposal for Council's consideration in fall 2006.**

VI. Academic Council Subcommittee on the Systemwide Senate Leadership and Office Structure

- **Michael T. Brown, Subcommittee Chair**

ISSUES: Council Vice Chair Brown is to provide an update on the work of the subcommittee, discuss unfinished business and the 2006-07 subcommittee membership, as well as Council discussion of the proposed Senate Bylaw 16.

DISCUSSION: Council members first discussed whether the subcommittee should continue its charge in 2006-07, to which most noticed their support. Members suggested that any change in the subcommittee membership could be discussed by Council in September, however some members noted the importance of retaining members with personal knowledge of the events of 2005-06. Vice Chair Brown then reported on proposed Senate Bylaw 16, which intends to make

clear the responsibilities of the Senate Executive Director, including reporting lines and supervisory duties.

ACTION: The Academic Council approved the continuation of the Subcommittee on the Systemwide Senate Leadership and Office Structure, and the completion of its charge, and requests a report to Council at the first meeting in the fall.

ACTION: The Academic Council unanimously voted to send Proposed Bylaw 16 out for systemwide Senate review.

**VII. The Competitive Graduate Student Financial Support Advisory Committee (GSAC)
“Final Committee Report and Recommendations of the Provost”**

- Stan Glantz, UCPB Chair

ISSUE: UCPB has asked that Council endorse the final GSAC report, which includes recommendations for eliminating nonresident tuition as discussed at the June Council meeting.

DISCUSSION: UCPB Chair Glantz introduced the report and requested Council’s endorsement of the report as well as the cover letter to President Dynes (enclosure 12). He then reviewed highlights of the report, stressing GSAC’s recommendations on nonresident tuition, future tuition and fee increases, and student aid. Council members expressed resounding approval.

ACTION: The Academic Council unanimously approved the GSAC report and proposed cover letter for transmittal to President Dynes.

VIII. Consultation with the Office of the President – Senior Management

- Robert C. Dynes, President
- Rory Hume, Provost and Executive Vice President, Academic Affairs
- Larry Hershman, Vice President for Budget

President Dynes

Regents’ Meeting of July 19-20, 2006: President Dynes reported on The Regents’ actions relating to UC compensation matters and the reorganization of the Office of the President. He noted his rejection, along with Chair Oakley and Vice Chair Brown, of the notion of a Chief Operating Officer at UC. In the end, The Regents settled on splitting the former senior vice president of business and finance position into an executive vice president for business operations, and an executive vice president and chief financial officer (new positions to be filled). The Regents also elevated the current senior vice presidents to executive vice presidents – for academic and health affairs, and for university affairs. All four executive vice presidents will report to President Dynes. At The Regents’ meeting, President Dynes also reported on the UC budget; the five-year anniversary of the California Institutes for Science and Innovation; graduate student support; confirmation of the UCLA and UCSC acting chancellors, Norm Abrams and George Blumenthal, respectively; a study to be commissioned by The Regents on the impact of Proposition 209 on UC; and provided an update on the Long Range Guidance Team, which will be releasing its final report later than expected. President Dynes ended his report by acknowledging the great and timely work performed by the Senate this year on all UC compensation matters, which proved very helpful to the administration and The Regents.

Provost and Executive Vice President Hume

Update on Academic and Health Affairs Units: Provost Hume reported that he is now moving forward with establishing a transition team to integrate the clinical services and health affairs units at UCOP, and a separate transition team to evaluate research operations at UCOP. Now

that he is the permanent provost, he will be working to recruit key people in his office as well. Other Academic Affairs departments that are under review include: information technology planning – alignment of academic interests versus operational interests; academic planning operations – recruitment of leaders to move UC's budget and capital priorities; educational relations – additional work on intersegmental relations with CSU, support for academic preparation and transfer students, and the Science Mathematics Initiative; and search committees are in the midst of recruiting for an assistant vice president-academic advancement (Sheila O'Rourke is currently serving as acting assistant vice president), and a vice president for student affairs.

Academic Planning Efforts: Provost Hume noted that he is determined to finalize the Long Range Guidance Team's final report. He will resume campus visits in the fall as part of his systemwide academic planning initiative, and intends to present a report in late winter or early spring.

Other Updates: Provost Hume noted that the final report of the Competitive Graduate Student Financial Support Committee (GSAC) is crucial and he looks forward to acting on its recommendations soon. Enrollment growth in the health sciences is proceeding well, and recommendations will be presented to The Regents in November on how UC can better support the state's needs. The UCI Law School proposal is now under consideration of the California Postsecondary Education Commission (CPEC), and The Regents are expected to act on the proposal in November. The UCR Law School proposal was sent back to UCR for further consideration. Lastly, the Science Mathematics Initiative (SMI) is moving forward, as campus leaders have been identified and he will be meeting with them in the fall.

Vice President Hershman

Budget Update: Vice President Hershman reported that the state budget negotiations have been positive this year, especially for education and transportation issues. A significant amount of one-time money is committed to one-time purposes, including paying off the debt, however a \$3 - \$4 billion structural problem still exists. UC is expected to receive an 8.2% budget increase, and UC's capital budget is fully funded assuming passage of the November bond package.

UC Budget Specifics: UC's budget plan provides for a full 4% compensation package, including merit increases; full enrollment and enrollment funding (2.5% enrollment growth, at least through 2010 (5300 students per year, including growth in the health sciences)); UC's marginal cost formula was increased to \$9900 per student; UC student academic preparation programs are fully funded, with expected permanent funding and extra money for community college transfer efforts; funding for the UC labor centers has been fully restored, plus extra funding for the alcohol and substance abuse center; and full funding for the state's student fee buyout for undergraduate, graduate and professional school students.

2007-08 UC Budget: Vice President Hershman presented an item to The Regents in July, which they are expected to vote on in November, proposing that The Regents postpone any votes on student fees until January 2007, when the governor's budget is funded. Instead, The Regents will be asked to approve an "expenditure plan" in November. The proposed expenditure plan may include the following: a 5% compensation plan; possible specific proposal to restart employee/employer contributions to UCRP; a 2.5% enrollment growth plan, including health sciences and general campus growth; funding for a new UC research initiative focused on the economic growth of the state, including significant funding for graduate student support; use savings from UC's strategic sourcing initiative for graduate student support; continue to freeze graduate student fees; set aside money to either reduce nonresident tuition or improve graduate student support in ways that are consistent with state policy; restore funding for the student-

faculty ratio; work with campuses in the fall on their capital amount included in the November bond issue, which will yield UC an additional \$145 million in addition to the \$200 million already in next year's budget, for expansion of medical programs in underserved areas and telemedicine programs.

DISCUSSION: Council members addressed the following issues with senior management:

UCOP Budget: Vice President Hershman briefly discussed the UCOP budget structure, stating that the UCOP budget consists of about 35% state funds (upon agreement with the state), and the balance is a combination of fund sources UC has assembled. One Council member noted the UCOP budget and process is largely unknown at the campus level, and in the interest of transparency, it would help to educate the campuses. Vice President Hershman referred Council to recent UC budget reports that were submitted to the Legislature, and added that UCOP is treated as a campus for budgetary purposes, with Provost Hume acting as its "chancellor."

Science Mathematics Initiative (SMI): President Dynes noted that now is the opportune time to call a UC-wide summit on SMI to draw importance to the program and highlight its potential impact on the state's teaching needs. Provost Hume stated his acceptance of the Council's July 10, 2006, recommendations regarding SMI administration, oversight and implementation.

UCOP Reorganization: Council members asked many questions regarding the retention of UC's academic mission in light of the UCOP reorganization approved by The Regents. President Dynes reported that his goal in recruiting the two new executive vice presidents is to eliminate the "silos" at UCOP, and he allayed members' fears that they would be recruited as extremely well-compensated business professionals. Further, President Dynes acknowledged that: Provost Hume is the second person in charge, in President Dynes' absence; he will establish a monitoring and evaluation process for the new structure and the people recruited; the new positions will continue with the shared governance traditions of UCOP and the Senate; and President Dynes will do his best to ensure the academic mission of UC retains primacy in policymaking, UC operations, and budget and finance matters by recruiting people who have these goals as their mission. Lastly, Vice President Hershman addressed the funding of the new positions, noting that the additional costs are offset by the large amount of open and/or eliminated positions at UCOP and the overall reduced UCOP budget.

UC Compensation: Council members expressed frustration that Senate positions on UC compensation matters seem to not be put forward to The Regents in a sufficiently clear and timely manner. President Dynes responded that The Regents often hear and review personally the Senate's positions. To facilitate direct Senate involvement, Council Chair Oakley requested assistance in convening a "task force II on slotting," as requested in Council's July 18, 2006, letter to President Dynes and already agreed to by Regent Hopkinson at a recent UCPB meeting. President Dynes responded in the affirmative.

UC Research: President Dynes addressed the future of the California Institutes for Science and Innovation (Cal ISI) and their operating budgets. He noted that at a recent meeting in Sacramento, the four Cal ISI directors delivered a clear message to state leaders that they need permanent operating funding for the welfare of the state and for new research initiatives, at least \$10 million for each institute. Currently, UC is working through the regular budget cycle, finding ways to direct money. In the future, Vice President Hershman noted his goals to get business leaders' support of the Cal ISIs, and move forward with a new, large UC research initiative. Provost Hume reported that his transition team on research operations at UCOP will include two Senate representatives appointed by Chair Oakley, and they will evaluate which operations should be retained at UCOP, and which should be returned to the campuses.

Academic Planning/Diversity: Council members urged Provost Hume to prioritize diversity in his academic planning initiative, especially concerning resource allocation, program approval, program review, and the initiation of new programs. Provost Hume agreed. Further, UCAAD Vice Chair Basri volunteered his committee to assist in this endeavor.

National Laboratories: One Council member requested President Dynes' assistance in the Senate's efforts to gain substantial involvement in the LLCs at the Los Alamos and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories (see Council action, Item XI, below). President Dynes responded that the plan sounds reasonable; however it is impossible to predict what the final DOE contract will contain.

Graduate Student Support: Council members clarified with Vice President Hershman their understanding of the total investment required of UC if it were to eliminate nonresident tuition for academic graduate students. Further, Vice President Hershman and President Dynes reported that the goal to implement the nonresident tuition plan is slated for the next academic year.

UCSC Concerns: UCSC Divisional Chair Crosby expressed gratitude for President Dynes' support for UCSC in the wake of UCSC Chancellor Denice Denton's death; for listening to the campus in appointing George Blumenthal as Acting UCSC Chancellor; welcomed UCOP and President Dynes in the moving forward with their ongoing campus initiatives; and encouraged diverse leadership appointments for UC in the future. UCFW Chair Russell added that UCOP should be encouraged to fully support the UCSC campus and its faculty at this time.

IX. General Discussion

• Council Members

ISSUE: Discussion on issues raised during the senior management consultation period.

DISCUSSION: Council members discussed the UCOP budget, and noted that the Senate knows very little about the process and policy by which UCOP's budget is determined, and the content of that budget. Further, members wished to follow-up on President Dynes' plans to convene a joint task force to develop the second phase of slotting executive salaries at UC, as requested by the Council in July.

ACTION: Council Chair Oakley will send a letter to President Dynes requesting copies of the UCOP budget, as well as an update on his plans to convene the Council-proposed task force on slotting executive salaries, which President Dynes agreed to earlier.

X. Updates from the University Committee on Research Policy

- George Sensabaugh, UCORP Chair**
- Larry Coleman, Vice Provost for Research**

1. Investigation of Possible Influence of Corporate Funding on University Research

ISSUE: UCORP has responded to Council Chair Pitts' August 2004 request that UCORP consider UCAF's concerns regarding possible influence of corporate funding on academic freedom (enclosure 14).

REPORT: UCORP Chair Sensabaugh reported that both UCORP and UCAF are unable to identify any particular issues or circumstances worth further investigation on this matter, therefore UCORP has decided to close this issue.

ACTION: The Academic Council accepted UCORP's May 23, 2006, letter indicating its decision to close any further investigation of possible influence of corporate funding on University research.

2. Systemwide Standards for Institutional Review Boards (IRBs)

ISSUE: Update on UCORP's IRB report and discussion of the proposed IRB standards.

REPORT: UCORP Chair Sensabaugh introduced the IRB report and reviewed with Council members specific highlights and recommendations contained within. The report originated from a UCAF request for UCORP to look into whether IRBs were operating efficiently, and whether their operations imposed on researchers' abilities to define their own research design. Discovering that the issue is not unique to UC, especially for social science and behavioral researchers, UCORP reviewed UC IRB operations by consulting with the Office of Research and Vice Provost Coleman, local campus IRBs, and collected faculty comments and personal anecdotes. UCORP found two categories of complaint: IRB service to faculty was lacking, and social science/behavioral researchers felt IRBs did not understand their research and were sometimes obstructive to their research. Other issues were identified as well, and are contained in the report (enclosure 15).

DISCUSSION: Council members widely commended the report and noted that it will be very useful to the campuses, particularly for social science and behavioral researchers. UCEP Chair Segura noted that the IRB report raises important issues for UCEP involving undergraduate student research.

ACTION: The Academic Council approved UCORP's Report on Systemwide Standards for Institutional Review Boards to go out for systemwide Senate review.

3. Admin/Senate Workgroup on Recycling MRU Funds

ISSUE: The admin/Senate workgroup has issued its final recommendations, distributed at the meeting for Council's review and discussion (distribution 3).

REPORT: UCORP Chair Sensabaugh reported that he and Vice Provost Coleman co-chaired this workgroup, which was charged with decalcifying the multicampus research unit (MRU) funding structure. The final recommendations are informed by prior Council reports, including a 2004 UCORP report on MRUs. Vice Provost Coleman noted a careful distinction in the recommendations between removing UCOP funding from an MRU and closing that MRU, and that he looks forward to completing Senate review of the report so that the recommendations can be quickly implemented. He also reported that the Executive Vice Chancellors of Research have already reviewed the recommendations, and have expressed their support. The MRU directors are aware of the joint workgroup's recommendations and that change is coming, and will be asked for comments in the future.

DISCUSSION: Council members applauded the recommendations, and encouraged divisions and committees to conduct an expedited review since these issues have been discussed multiple times in the past. One member suggested that the MRU/ORU taxonomy should be looked at because the proliferation of "centers," "institutes," and the like has resulted in unwieldy policy at the campuses. Lastly, one member commended the recommendations, noting that the MRUs are an important example of UC conducting itself as "one university."

ACTION: The Academic Council approved the Recommendations of the UC Academic Senate-UC Office of Research Multicampus Research Unit (MRU) Joint Workgroup to go out for systemwide Senate review, with a goal for final Council action in December 2006.

4. DANR Blue Ribbon Review Panel Nominations

ISSUE: In May, Council requested that UCORP provide a list of recommendations for membership on the DANR review panel.

DISCUSSION: UCORP Chair Sensabaugh referred Council members to UCORP's list of nominees (enclosure 16). Council members identified two names on the list that are either no longer affiliated with UC or are no longer active at a UC campus. UCORP Chair Sensabaugh suggested that any additional comments on the list should be forwarded to Council Chair Oakley for a final decision.

ACTION: The Academic Council approved UCORP's list of nominations to the DANR Blue Ribbon Review Panel, with amendments, for transmittal to President Dynes.

XI. Updates from the Academic Council Special Committee on the National Labs (ACSCONL)

- **John Oakley, ACSCONL Chair**
- **Michael Brown, ACSCONL Member**

ISSUES: Chair Oakley will provide an update to Council on recent ACSCONL activities; lab-related issues discussed at The Regents' July meeting; the ACSCONL proposal as approved by the Academic Council at its June 21, 2006, meeting; and ACSCONL membership for 2006-07.

REPORT: Chair Oakley reported that ACSCONL, at its July 25, 2006, meeting, continued discussion of its "Proposed Statement of the Academic Council on Interaction Between UC's Faculty and UC-Associated National Laboratories," approved by the Council on June 21, 2006. The proposal had been transmitted to President Dynes on July 7, 2006, yet was not communicated to The Regents in time for their July meeting. Chair Oakley also reported on The Regents' authorization of the preliminary establishment of a LLC for Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL), should The Regents decide to bid on the DOE contract in the fall.

DISCUSSION: UCPB Chair Glantz, who was also in attendance at the July 25 ACSCONL meeting, raised additional objections to the ACSCONL proposal (distribution 7) in light of the previous night's discussion and UCPB's still unresolved concerns. Some Council members approved of the proposal, yet still expressed their preference for going forward with the original document, and objected to the last-minute nature of the proposal that had been distributed at the beginning of today's meeting. A motion to accept UCPB Chair Glantz's proposal failed. Upon further discussion of the issue, Council members raised additional issues that they would like President Dynes to address, separate from the June 21 Academic Council proposal, regarding substantial Senate involvement on the six standing committees of the governing boards of the LANS LLC and the proposed LINS LLC. Most members viewed this as an important step towards accomplishing the goals contained in UCPB Chair Glantz's earlier proposal, and that UC's industrial partners in the new LLC arrangements would welcome Senate input in this manner.

ACTION: The Academic Council voted to send a letter to President Dynes requesting him to (1) take all possible steps to secure the appointment of Academic Senate members (nominated and selected by the Academic Council) to each of the six standing committees of the LANS LLC Board of Governors; and (2) direct University of California negotiators of the proposed LINS LLC contract specifically to require Academic Senate membership on each of the six standing committees of the proposed LINS LLC Board of Governors.

ACTION: The Academic Council unanimously voted to extend the ACSCONL term through 2006-07.

ACTION: The Academic Council unanimously voted to approve the 2006-07 ACSCONL membership as follows: identical membership, except deletion of George Blumenthal (resigned), and addition of Dan Simmons (UCD) for remainder of the present term.

SENSE OF THE COUNCIL: Understanding that Chair Oakley will forward UCPB Chair Glantz's email regarding suggested amendments to the ACSCONL proposal to ACSCONL members following today's meeting.

XII. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) Advisory Board Charter

- John Oakley, Academic Council Chair
- Michael Brown, Academic Council Vice Chair

ISSUE: Council is to appoint a faculty representative for appointment to the LBNL Advisory Board, and determine the member's term of service.

DISCUSSION: Vice Chair Brown reported that ACSCONL recommends that the faculty representative to the LBNL Advisory Board serve a term of three years, and that Council Chair Oakley continue his service on the board for the remainder of 2006, through December 2008 for a total of three years' service.

ACTION: The Academic Council unanimously approved the selection of Council Chair Oakley as faculty representative to the LBNL Advisory Board for a three year term ending December 31, 2008.

XIII. Proposed Amendment to Senate Bylaw 185 (UCOL)

- Council Members

ACTION: This item was deferred to the 2006-07 Academic Council agenda.

XIV. UC Committee on Latino Research (UCCLR): 15 Year MRU Review

- Stan Glantz, UCPB Chair
- George Sensabaugh, UCORP Chair
- Reen Wu, CCGA Chair

ISSUE: Council is to reconcile the recommendations submitted by CCGA, UCORP and UCPB and determine a final position on the 15 year review of UCCLR.

DISCUSSION: UCPB Chair Glantz and UCORP Chair Sensabaugh briefly reviewed with Council members their respective committees' concerns regarding UCCLR. They also noted that their committees have not had the opportunity to review the June 27, 2006, letter from UCCLR Chair Valenzuela contained in the agenda packet.

ACTION: The Academic Council voted to refer the UCCLR 15 year review back to UCORP, UCPB and CCGA for further discussion and to develop recommendations for the Academic Council in the fall.

XV. University Committee on Faculty Welfare (UCFW) Requests

- **Rusty Russell, UCFW Chair**
- 1. Total Compensation and Changes in Salary and Benefits**
- 2. Benchmarking for Senior Managers**

ISSUE: UCFW has requested Council's endorsement of the following two letters: (1) UCFW and UCFW Health Care Task Force comments on The Regents' plans regarding total compensation and changes in salary and benefits; and (2) UCFW response to Council Vice Chair Brown's request for comments to the work group on competitive compensation.

DISCUSSION: Council members applauded both of the UCFW letters and expressed support for transmitting them to President Dynes.

ACTION: The Academic Council unanimously voted to forward both UCFW letters to President Dynes noticing Council's endorsement.

XVI. Election Process for the Academic Senate/Academic Council Vice Chair

- **John Oakley, Academic Council Chair**
- **Faye Crosby, UCSC Divisional Chair**

ISSUE: During the 2006-07 Senate Vice Chair election process, Council members requested that the Council review the current election process and present a proposal for the 2007-08 Vice Chair election. UCSC Divisional Chair Crosby has drafted a proposal for Council's consideration today.

DISCUSSION: UCSC Divisional Chair Crosby reviewed her proposal (enclosure 25), noting some problems with the proposed election schedule for next year's election, as well inherent problems in electing a "vice chair in waiting" who would not sit on Council. She also noted that the proposal would benefit Council because the election schedule allows Council more time to get to know each other before voting, and it expands the pool of possible candidates. Some Council members expressed reservations with the proposal because it proposes election of a chair too far-removed from their actual term of service, without any actual duties as "vice chair in waiting," and that they prefer the status quo election process. Some members noted they liked the proposal because it allows the elected person three years of planning time.

ACTION: none. Motion failed 11-3.

XVII. Meetings of the Assembly of the Academic Senate

- **John Oakley, Academic Council Chair**
- **Alice Agogino, UCB Divisional Chair**

ISSUE: The Berkeley Assembly representatives have raised issues with how Assembly teleconference meetings have been conducted this year, and have offered suggestions for streamlining the meetings in the future.

DISCUSSION: Berkeley Divisional Chair Agogino briefly stated that the Berkeley representatives would like to see Assembly meetings reserved for action items only, and that informational reports be included in the Assembly bluebook as written reports. Other Council members noted their respective divisions' similar frustrations with Assembly teleconferences, as well as multiple requests from representatives for shorter Assembly meetings.

ACTION: This item was deferred for further discussion to the 2006-07 Academic Council agenda.

XVIII. Board of Admissions and Relations with Schools (BOARS)

- **Michael T. Brown, BOARS Chair**

REPORT: BOARS Chair Brown provided Council members with an update on recent BOARS activities concerning a new UC admit rate analysis, and distributed a packet to replace enclosure 26 of the agenda (distribution 8). The new admit rate analysis is based on all California residents, as opposed to prior studies that looked at all California UC-eligible students. Chair Brown then highlighted BOARS' concerns regarding the new analysis as it relates to Proposition 209 and disparate impact issues, as well as specific concerns for campuses such as San Diego, Irvine and Los Angeles. Chair Brown requested that Council members review the materials as it impacts their respective campus, and consider whether there are educationally justifiable reasons for the data presented.

ACTION: none

XIX. University Committee on International Education (UCIE) Resolution on Student Enrollment in Education Abroad Programs

- **John Oakley, Academic Council Chair**

ACTION: This item was deferred to the 2006-07 Academic Council agenda.

XX. End of Year Wrap-Up

- **John Oakley, Academic Council Chair**
 1. **Business Requiring the Academic Council's Attention Between July 26 and September 26, 2006**
 2. **Annual Report**
 3. **Year-End Comments**

DISCUSSION: none

ACTION: none

XXI. New Business

ACTION: The Academic Council approved the following resolutions:

1. **Resolution in appreciation of Council Chair Oakley and Council Vice Chair Brown for their leadership this year in rebuilding the Academic Council.**
2. **Resolution in appreciation of the Academic Senate staff.**

Meeting Adjourned at 5:00 p.m.

Attest: John Oakley, Chair, Academic Council

Minutes prepared by: Michelle Ruskofsky, Policy Analyst

Distributions:

1. ACSCONL Membership Roster, 2005-06
2. Academic Council Membership Roster, 2006-07

3. Recommendations of the UC Academic Senate – Office of Research Multicampus Research Unit (MRU) Joint Workshop, June 14, 2006.
4. Proposed draft of letter to submit to the Governor’s Office, SMIG, July 26, 2006.
5. UCSD response to UCAF’s Proposed Student Freedom of Scholarly Inquiry Principles, July 19, 2006.
6. UCSD response to UCOL’s Proposed Amendment to Senate Bylaw 185 – Library, July 19, 2006.
7. Stan Glantz, Suggested amendments to the June 21 “Statement of the Academic Council on Interaction Between UC Faculty and the National Laboratories,” beginning on page 160 of the agenda.
8. UCOP Admit Rates, charts released June 11, 2006.

Attachment: 2005-06 Academic Council Attendance

Academic Council Minutes – July 26, 2006

ACADEMIC COUNCIL Attendance 2005-2006		Key: X=In attendance, \=Absent, Alt=Alternate											
		9/28	10/26	11/30	12/14	1/25	2/22	3/22	4/5	4/19	5/24	6/21	7/26
<u>Officers</u>													
Cliff Brunk, Chair		X	X	X	X	X	X						
John Oakley, VC (Sept-Mar.), Chair		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Michael Brown, Vice Chair									X	X	X	X	X
<u>Divisional Chairs</u>													
Alice Agogino	UCB	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	half day X	X	X
Dan Simmons	UCD	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Kenneth Janda	UCI	X	X	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	Alt
Adrienne Lavine	UCLA	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Alt
Anne Meyers Kelley	UCM	X	X								X		
Roland Winston	UCM			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Manuela Martins-Green	UCR	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Alt	X	X	X
Jean-Bernard Minster	UCSD	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	Alt	X	X
Deborah Greenspan	UCSF	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Alt	X
Walter Yuen	UCSB	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Faye Crosby	UCSC	X	X	X	Alt	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<u>Committee Chairs</u>													
Michael Brown	BOARS	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X
Duncan Lindsey	CCGA	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	Alt
Anthony Norman	UCAP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
Denise Segura	UCEP	X	Alt	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Raymond Russell	UCFW	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
George Sensabaugh	UCORP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Stan Glantz	UCPB	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<u>Alternates</u>													
William Drummond	UCB										half day X		
Mark Rashid	UCSB							X					
Henry Sanchez	UCEP		X										
Quentin Williams	UCSC				X								
Reen Wu	CCGA								X				X
Henry Powell	UCSD								X		X		
Mary Croughan	UCAP								X				
Richard Luben	UCR									X			
Martha Mecartney	UCI					X			X				
David Gardner	UCSF											X	
Joseph DiMento	UCI												X
Kathleen Komar	UCLA												X
<u>Guests</u>													
Judith Boyette, AVP - HR&B		X											
Bob Miller, Mercer Human Resource		X	X										
Cathryn Nation, Exec.Dir., Health Aff.		X											
Clint Haden, Dir. Student Affairs		X											
Andrea Gerstenberger, Health Aff.		X											
Anik Hershen, Student Affairs		X											

Academic Council Minutes – July 26, 2006

Randy Scott, Exec.Dir - HR&B		X										
Eric Juline - Regent (Alumni)		X										
Gerald Parsky - Regent				X								
Lawrence Pitts, SCSC Chair				X					X			
Daniel Weiss, UCAAD Chair									X	X		
Larry Coleman, Vice Provost - Research												X
Gibor Basri, UCAAD Vice Chair									X		X	X
Mary-Beth Harhen, UCSC Senate Director				X								
Tamara Maimon, UCSF Senate Director											X	
President & Senior Management												
Robert Dynes, President		X	X	X	X			X	X	X		X
M.R.C. Greenwood, Provost	X	X										
Rory Hume, Acting Provost	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X		X
Joseph Mullinix, SrVP-B&F		X	X	X	TELE	X						
Bruce Darling, SrVP-UER	X	X		X	X		X		X			
Lawrence Hershman, VP-Budget	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X	X	X
Council Staff												
Maria Bertero-Barcelo, Director	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Brenda Foust, Policy Analyst	X		X		X		X	X		X		½
Michelle Ruskofsky, Policy Analyst	X	X		X		X			X		X	X
Chancellors												
Robert Birgeneau	UCB											
Larry Vanderhoef	UCD							X				
Michael Drake	UCI							X				
Albert Carnesale	UCLA							X				
Frances Cordova	UCR							X				
Marye Anne Fox	UCSD							X				
Michael Bishop	UCSF							X				
Henry Yang	UCSB							X				
Denice Denton	UCSC							X				
Carol Tomlinson-Keasey	UCM							X				