

COORDINATING COMMITTEE ON GRADUATE AFFAIRS

Notice of Meeting

Wednesday, May 1, 2013

10:00 a.m. – 3:30 p.m.

UCOP, 1111 Franklin Street, Oakland, CA -- Room 5320

Primary Dial-In: 1-866-740-1260; Passcode: 9879483

AGENDA

Action	Item	Enclosures
Information 10:00-10:45	I. Chair's Report/Announcements/Updates – Chair Ruth Mulnard <ul style="list-style-type: none"> April 3 PDST Task Force Meeting – Matt Gorlick April 10 UC Assembly Meeting April 24 Academic Council Meeting April 26 UCOP Budget Call Meeting - Donald Mastronarde 	
Action 10:45-11:00	II. Consent Calendar <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approval of the Draft Minutes from the March 6, 2013 Meeting and the April 3, 2013 Meeting Approval of the Agenda <p><u>ACTION REQUESTED:</u> <i>Approve the draft minutes and agenda.</i></p>	1 (pp. 1-4) 2 (To be sent separately)
	III. Proposed Graduate Degrees and Programs <i>All program proposals and current reviews are posted on the CCGA SharePoint site; please contact the committee analyst if you would like proposal materials or documents e-mailed to you.</i>	
Discussion 11:00- 11:15	A. Proposal for a Graduate Program leading to the M.A. and Ph.D. Degrees in Political Science at UC Merced – <i>Lead Reviewer Kwai Ng (UCSD)</i>	3 (SP) 4 (SP)
Discussion 11:15- 11:30	B. Proposal for a Program of Graduate Studies in the Interdisciplinary Humanities for the M.A. and Ph.D. Degrees at UC Merced – <i>Lead Reviewer Bruce Schumm (UCSC)</i>	
Discussion 11:30-11:45	C. Proposal for a Graduate Program leading to the Master of Information and Data Science (MIDS) at UC Berkeley – <i>Lead Reviewer Divy Agrawal (UCSB)</i>	5 (SP)
Discussion 11:45- 12:00	D. Proposal to establish a Graduate Program leading to the Ph.D. in Public Health at UC Irvine – <i>Lead Reviewer Donald Mastronarde (UCB)</i>	6 (SP) 7 (SP) 8 (SP)

*This agenda may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient.
Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited.*

Working Lunch/ Information 12:00-1:15	IV. Consultation with Academic Senate Leadership <i>Robert Powell, Academic Council Chair</i> <i>William Jacob, Academic Council Vice Chair</i>
Information 1:15- 2:00	V. Announcements from the President's Office – <i>Pamela Jennings, Graduate Studies Director, Office of Research and Graduate Studies</i> <i>Hilary Baxter, Interim Director, Academic Planning, Programs and Coordination</i> <i>Steven Beckwith, Vice President for Research and Graduate Studies</i>
Discussion 2:00-3:00	VI. Updates/Inquiries from the Divisional Senates – <i>Chair Mulnard and Members</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>In Absentia Policy and Practices –Joseph Nagy (UCLA)</i> • <i>Doc2A Policy – Jutta Heckhausen (UCI)</i>
Discussion 3:00- 3:30	VII. New Business

*This agenda may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient.
Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited.*

Agenda Enclosures:

1. Draft Minutes from the March 6, 2013 CCGA Meeting (pp. 1-4)
2. Draft Minutes from the April 3, 2013 CCGA Meeting (These will be sent under separate cover.)
3. External Review of the Proposal for a Graduate Program leading to the M.A. and Ph.D. Degrees in Political Science at UC Merced (see "UCI_PH_PhDEternalReview1.pdf" on [SharePoint Graduate Degree Program Proposals Under Review](#)).
4. External Review of the Proposal for a Graduate Program leading to the M.A. and Ph.D. Degrees in Political Science at UC Merced (see "UCI_PH_PhDEternalReview1.pdf" on [SharePoint Graduate Degree Program Proposals Under Review](#)).
5. Internal Review of the Proposal for a Graduate Program leading to the Master of Information and Data Science (MIDS) at UC Berkeley (see "Elkan Review_UCB MIDS.pdf" on [SharePoint Graduate Degree Program Proposals Under Review](#)).
6. Internal Review of the Proposal to establish a Graduate Program leading to the Ph.D. in Public Health at UC Irvine (see "InternalReview1Reingold.pdf" on [SharePoint Graduate Degree Program Proposals Under Review](#)).
7. Internal Review of the Proposal to establish a Graduate Program leading to the Ph.D. in Public Health at UC Irvine (see "internalReview2Cassady.pdf" on [SharePoint Graduate Degree Program Proposals Under Review](#)).
8. External Review of the Proposal to establish a Graduate Program leading to the Ph.D. in Public Health at UC Irvine (see "UCI_PH_PhDEternalReview1.pdf" on [SharePoint Graduate Degree Program Proposals Under Review](#)).

Important Meeting Information

Location: The May 1 meeting will convene in Room 5320 at the UC Office of the President in downtown Oakland. UCOP is located at 1111 Franklin Street, between 11th and 12th Streets. Upon arrival, please check in at the security desk where you will be issued a visitor badge. Online directions and a map are available at: <http://www.ucop.edu/services/directions-franklin.html>.

If you are arriving by way of the Oakland airport, you may taxi or BART to the UCOP building. For BART, purchase an AirBART shuttle ticket from the ticket machines located at terminal exits. The shuttle will take you to the Coliseum BART station. From there take a Richmond-bound train and exit at the 12th Street/Oakland City Center Station.

Parking: Parking is available at 989 Franklin Street for \$8/day if you park before 10 AM. Visitor parking is also available at UCOP on the 12th Street side of the building for \$11/day if you enter the parking structure before 9:00a.m. Daily parking is also available at a number of lots in the building vicinity.

Travel Regs: Detailed travel information (booking travel and receiving reimbursements) is available online at: <http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/senate/resources/>

Please submit completed and signed travel voucher with original receipts within 21 days after the meeting to:

**Business Resource Center - Team Blue
University of California Office of the President
1111 Franklin Street 9th floor
Oakland, CA 94607-5200**

Account/Fund Number: M-430384-69085-03

*This agenda may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient.
Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited.*

COORDINATING COMMITTEE ON GRADUATE AFFAIRS

DRAFT MEETING MINUTES – MARCH 6, 2013

I. Chair's Report/Announcement/Updates

- February 22 UCOP Budget Call Meeting
Vice Chair Donald Mastronarde explained that the University is studying its liquidity situation. UC PATH is moving forward, but the start has been delayed and the end date has been moved forward so implementation will be shorter than originally planned. UC is still working on the final agreement for composite benefit rates, but the situation is looking more promising. PDST is on hold until the July Regents meeting, and USHIP and GSHIP costs are going to increase.
- February 27 Academic Council Meeting
Chair Ruth Mulnard briefed members that President Yudof attended the February Council meeting in the morning to discuss academic efficiency. He also acknowledged that the Regents have little understanding or appreciation for what faculty actually do; increasing the teaching load of all ladder-rank faculty by one additional course has been mentioned by Governor Brown. President Yudof feels that the faculty need to be very creative about what they are going to offer and that their efforts need to show movement and sensitivity on this topic. He implored the faculty to think about how they can approach the Governor and the Regents in a responsive manner. Time-to-degree needs to be watched closely as well. President Yudof also addressed faculty compensation, noting that while the budget may allow for a faculty salary increase, such an increase would be untenable in the current political climate.

The Provost has come to recognize the connection and the overlap between the PDST Task Force and the APC's work on the SSP policy. The Council touched briefly on the SSP policy and agreed that the primary issue is not the new SSP programs but the programs proposing to convert from state-supported to self-supporting. The potential risk of writing an SSP policy that encourages a proliferation of SSPs without taking into account the global perspective and UC's mission was also discussed.

The Council also discussed the negotiated salary plan, which has a July 1 start date, and noted that UCI, UCLA, and UCSD will participate in this pilot program; as of now, the plan might be ready for a July 1 start date.

- February 28 Academic Planning Council Meeting
Chair Mulnard explained that the APC spent over five hours on the SSP policy revisions. She remarked to the APC that the University seemed, in some ways, to be moving in completely opposite directions: in the PDST policy revision criteria and definitions are being added, and in the SSP policy revision, definitions and criteria are becoming more vague. Chair Mulnard reported that Provost Dorr stated that the SSP policy revisions did not seem to be moving in a favorable direction for the Anderson School proposal. Chair Mulnard was also asked what CCGA's opinion would be if the Anderson School's proposal came back, to which she remarked that if nothing had changed from the last year, the committee would not accept it. Also reported was the statement from Provost Dorr that there is continued pressure from the dean of the Anderson School.

II. Consent Calendar

ACTION: The agenda was approved; Committee Analyst Zarate will have the backlog of minutes done in the near future.

III. Proposed Graduate Degrees and Programs for review

A. Proposal to Establish a Graduate Program Leading to the Ph.D. in Public Health at UC Irvine

Vice Chair Mastronarde noted that he heard back from four reviewers and the reviews were all very positive.

B. Proposal to Establish a Program of Graduate Studies in Pursuit of the Degree of Master of Technology (MTM) at UC Santa Barbara

Professor Heckhausen remarked that she had five reviews, but she thought a few items still needed further explanation. Members agreed to wait for a formal response from the program proposers.

ACTION: Members will vote on the MTM proposal over email.

C. Proposal for a Graduate Program Leading to the MS Degree in Games and Playable Media at UC Santa Cruz

Professor Olsson remarked that he needed more information regarding the administrative structure of the unit and more evidence of its connection to industry. Professor Olsson added that he is impressed with the proposal and the rapid response of the team; however, he wanted additional concrete responses from the proposers.

D. Proposal for a Graduate Program leading to the M.A. and Ph.D. Degrees in Political Science at the University of California, Merced

ACTION: Professor Ng from UCSD agreed to lead this review.

E. A Proposal for a Program of Graduate Studies in the Interdisciplinary Humanities for the M.A. and Ph.D. Degrees at the University of California, Merced

ACTION: Professor Schumm from UCSC agreed to lead this review.

F. Proposal for a Graduate Program leading to the Master of Information and Data Science (MIDS) at the University of California, Berkeley

ACTION: Professor Agrawal from UCSB agreed to lead this review.

IV. Announcements from the President's Office

REPORT: Director of Graduate Studies Pamela Jennings reported that the childcare reimbursement benefit will be extended to GSRs at all campuses; GSIs already have this benefit. SB 259, which would allow for the unionization of GSRs, has been reintroduced. She also briefed members that the Graduate Deans are concerned about how UC PATH will affect the hiring of graduate students. The Graduate Deans also agreed to undertake a graduate placement survey on all of the campuses. UCOP is also exploring the idea of doing a systemwide graduate alumni survey.

V. Updates/Inquiries from the Divisional Senates

A. UC Irvine: Graduate Learning Program Outcomes

Professor Heckhausen remarked that UCI initiated program learning outcomes for its graduate programs in the context of the WASC review. The process was elaborate, and required

considerable faculty time for implementation. She noted that since WASC requires a review for each of the campuses; it would be helpful to exchange ideas on benefits to this process and well as potential impacts (e.g., excessive cost).

B. UC Berkeley: On-line Course Approvals at Berkeley

Professor Olsson reported that Berkeley is in its second WASC review stage and stated that the new process seems less about identifying weaknesses and more about demonstrating performance.

C. UC Riverside: Campus and UC policies that prevent the awarding a second Ph.D. to students who already have a Ph.D.

Professor Vanderwood asked if there is a UC policy against awarding a second Ph.D. He explained that the Deans on his campus believed that most campuses do not allow second degrees. One member observed that the practice varied from campus to campus; some prohibit it outright, and some allow petition for the second degree. Professor Vanderwood was encouraged to investigate the local bylaws under which his campus functions, for confirmation of the practice at UCR.

VI. Consultation with the Senate Leadership

REPORT: Council Chair Powell asked if any committee members were interested in joining the Intersegmental Oversight Committee mandated by SB 1052 and 1053, enacted last year to select lower-division online textbooks for free (or at low-cost) for students; he observed that a faculty member from the STEM area would be ideal. Chair Powell remarked that he and the vice chair spent nearly three hours with Governor Brown, who wants each faculty member to teach another course. Chair Powell noted that, due to existing over enrollments at each campus, UC faculty will already be teaching about eight percent more credit hours in the years ahead, and that for the past two years UC has seen a net decrease in faculty, with fewer new hires than separations systemwide. In addition, about 40 percent of the faculty members are 55 years of age or older. Contributions to UCRP will go up on July 1. The systemwide search for the University's next President is moving forward with valuable input and participation from the Senate Academic Advisory Committee, composed of distinguished faculty from each campus. Chair Powell reflected on the \$10M of the University's budget that has been allocated for online courses in the Governor's budget. This is not new money, and it may not survive the May budget revise and/or the legislative budget process. He remarked that if the funds materialize, in concept they should enable faculty to develop courses, get instructional designers, develop a registration system, etc.

VII. Planned March 11 UCORP Meeting in Sacramento

Vice Chair Mastronarde and former CCGA Chair Rachael Goodhue will attend this meeting.

VIII. SSP Policy Revision

Chair Mulnard remarked that the Provost is asking CCGA for input to the SSP conversion process, including what kind of review is appropriate for proposals to convert from a state-supported program to self-supporting status and the impact on faculty. Committee discussion focused on the need to look not only at existing programs, but also at other campuses that might have similar programs. It was generally agreed that unless a program is making very significant change, conversion was not justified. Programs that propose conversion would need to address questions regarding accessibility and financial aid. Chair Mulnard noted that if a program is to be self-sufficient in three years, and it is not, then the local campus would need a plan to help it survive or allow it to close. The draft policy seems to allow a new SSP that doesn't succeed to revert to a state-supported program. Chair Mulnard stressed that the University still needs an exit plan for such conversions. SSPs are designed to make money while meeting certain

instructional needs; not to lose money or only break even. She also suggested that SSP proposals should perhaps undergo a streamlined program review process. Chair Mulnard agreed to produce a recommendation document from this CCGA discussion that will be shared with the APC to further its discussion on conversions.

IX. Proposed State Legislation AB 609 and AB 1348

A. AB 609 (Nestande): Public Access to State-Funded Research

Senate Associate Director Todd Giedt noted that AB 609 would require a state agency that provides funding for direct research to provide free online public access to final peer-reviewed and published manuscripts not later than six months after publication in peer-reviewed journals. He added that the definition of a state agency is not made clear; the Office of the General Council is developing a statement to clarify the item. The site for publication would be the California State Library. This bill would obviously have implications for graduate students.

B. AB 1348 (Perez): CPEC 2.0

The committee discussed this item and decided, in general, that the bill was unlikely to progress, due in part to lack of funds.

The meeting adjourned at 4:00 p.m.