I. **Call to Order/Consent Calendar** – Chair Rachael Goodhue
   - Approval of the Agenda
   - Approval of the February 7, March 6, 2012 Meeting Minutes
   
   **ACTION:** The agenda was approved as noticed. The February 20 meeting minutes were approved with minor modifications. Approval of the March 6 meeting minutes will be held in abeyance pending revisions (a few other minor modifications to the minutes were noted and accepted).

II. **Chair’s Report/Announcements/Updates** – Chair Rachael Goodhue
   - March 21 Academic Council Meeting: Items of interest to CCGA: BOARS proposal; President Yudof’s commentary on the University budget; the Governor’s proposed budget; legislation (SR-259) on unionization of GSRs; ad-hoc committee to look discontinuance of undergraduate programs, UCSF proposal; Joint Budget call.

III. **Announcements from the President’s Office, Academic Affairs**
    - Steven Beckwith, Vice President, ORGS
    - Pamela Jennings, Director of Graduate Studies, ORGS
    - Hilary Baxter, Assistant Director, Academic Planning, Programs and Coordination
    
    VP Steven Beckwith discussed annual allocations for research programs and the Lab Fees Research Program (LFRP).
    
    Pamela Jennings reported on the graduate research advocacy day held last month.
    
    Hilary Baxter commented on the ad hoc Compendium group and noted that Ralph Wolff will be meeting with Provost Pitts next week on the status of the WASC Redesign.

IV. **WASC Report on Graduate Education** – Chair Rachael Goodhue and Hilary Baxter
    
    **DISCUSSION:** One member commented that the section on *Differentiation of Graduate Degrees* does not capture the full spectrum of academic master’s programs, e.g., Master’s Plan I (thesis) and II (comprehensive examination). It was suggested that the sentence, “Disciplinary master’s programs have many of the same objectives but require less sophisticated level of mastery of the chosen field of study than does the research doctorate,” be deleted. Members were also concerned with the section on credit earned for course work and that the undergraduate model outlined here seemed somewhat limiting and rigid. Hilary Baxter will summarize informal comments and send to Rachael for review and comment.
V. Self-Supporting Programs

A. SSP Subcommittee Report – Bruce Schumm (UCSC), Andrew Chisholm (UCSD), Mike Vanderwood (UCM)

Prof. Schumm summarized the Subcommittee’s report and outlined general principles. A few members noted that some of the campuses have developed CCGA discussed whether or not it needs to modify its previously issued guidelines to explicitly address disagreement between CCGA and the Provost on the conversion/disestablishment procedures. The final policy on SSPs Implementation guidelines for the review SSPs were not disseminated to the campuses until September 2011. Questions were raised about how to quantify faculty contributions.

VI. Discussion of Issues at the Divisional Graduate Councils and Updates/Inquiries from the Divisional Senates – Chair Goodhue and Members

A. Los Angeles: Update on the Anderson School Proposal – Chair Goodhue and Karen Gylys (UCLA)

Prof. Gylys reported on the communications with the local Graduate Council and Executive Board (ranking campus Senate committee).

B. San Diego: Update on the Proposed Affiliation Agreement between UCSD and California Western School of Law – Andrew Chisholm (UCSD)

Prof Chisholm noted that there was nothing new to report.

C. Irvine: Proposal to Reconstitute the Department of Education as a School of Education – Chair Goodhue and Ruth Mulnard (UCI)

Chair Goodhue noted that the campus may need to submit a pre-proposal in conformance with established procedures under the new Compendium. Prof. Mulnard clarified their intent to have the proposal reviewed under the old version of the Compendium, bypassing the need for pre-proposal requirement. Hilary added that the Administration is okay with waiving the pre-proposal requirement and there were no objections from CCGA. CCGA determined that a standard review of the proposal is needed. School review exempt from pre-proposal but reviewed under new proposal. Chair Goodhue will confer with the chairs of UCPB and UCEP to coordinate and complete the review complete within the 60-day timeframe.

ACTION: Vice Chair Sharon Farmer volunteered to coordinate the review.

VII. CAGSS Task Force and Joint Administrative-Senate Workgroup on Graduate Education – Chair Goodhue

Chair Goodhue discussed with members observations from data from the CAGSS Task Force and Joint Administrative-Senate Workgroup on Graduate Education, including stipend trends by campus, discipline and student level; yield by factors of importance; graduate student support by type of support, fund source, and expenses covered; and the estimated impact of proposals to eliminate non-resident supplemental tuition (NRT) for academic doctoral students.
VIII. **UC Online Education (UCOE)** – Chair Goodhue, Karen Gylys (UCLA) and UCEP Chair Jose Wudka

A. **UCLA Online Program Review.** Prof. Gylys briefed CCGA on review of online master’s

B. **UCEP Response to the UCOE Proposal.** Prof. Wudka provided an overview of the UCEP response to the UCOE proposal. CCGA discussed proposed changes to Senate Regulations. At the request of the administration, BOARS is considering, and UCEP has drafted, amendments to the Senate Regulations that would facilitate offering online courses to non-matriculated students through UCOE. UCEP is requesting Council’s informal feedback on the draft regulations. If approved, the proposed amendments would be adopted for a finite period, requiring review prior to a sunset date. CCGA will wait to hear from Chair Wudka about next steps.

IX. **Appendix V Action Items Submitted by UCLA** – Chair Goodhue, Karen Gylys (UCLA)

A. **Proposal to Disestablish the Biomedical Engineering IDP and Transfer its graduate degree (M.S. and Ph.D.) programs into the Department of Bioengineering**

**ACTION:** CCGA voted 11-0-1 to accept the proposal.

B. **Proposal to Transfer the Environmental Science and Engineering Doctoral Degree (D.Env.) to the Institute of the Environment and Sustainability**

**ACTION:** CCGA voted 11-0-1 to accept the proposal.

C. **Proposal to Bifurcate the M.P.H. for Health Professionals Program in the UCLA School of Public Health**

**ACTION:** CCGA voted 11-0-1 to accept the proposal.

X. **Proposed Graduate Degrees and Programs for Review**

*All program proposals are now posted on the CCGA SharePoint site; contact the committee analyst if you would like a proposal sent to you.*

A. **Proposal for an Online Master of Advanced Studies in Integrated Circuits at UC Berkeley** – Lead Reviewers Sharon Farmer (UCSB) and Karen Gylys (UCLA)

**Post summary report on SharePoint**

**REPORT:** Prof. Farmer will follow-up with the proposers to address additional concerns and prepare fuller report for the Committee for a vote by email.

B. **Proposal for a Master of Translational Medicine at UC Berkeley** – Lead Reviewer Andrew Chisholm (UCSD)

**REPORT:** Prof. Chisholm proved an overview of the proposal and expects to have report ready for next time.
C. Proposal for a M.S./Ph.D. Program in Chemistry and Chemical Biology at UC Merced – Lead Reviewer Alan Buckpitt (UCD)

REPORT: Prof. Buckpitt provided an overview of the proposal; he reported that he has 2 internal reviews confirmed though is challenged with finding external reviewers.

D. Proposal for a Part-time, Self-supporting Master of Engineering (M.Eng.) Program at UC Berkeley – Lead Reviewer Bruce Schumm (UCSC)

REPORT: Prof. Schumm provided an overview of the proposal and discussed the financial review which looks fine but w/o independent analysis from Planning and Budget and asked from some guidance on what questions to ask of outside reviewers. Given that there are no curriculum changes, it was decided that is would be acceptable to forego outside reviews in this case. He will copy Rachael and Eric on his email correspondence.

E. Proposal for a Master in Bioengineering (M.Eng.) Program at UC Berkeley – Lead Reviewer Mike Vanderwood (UCR)

REPORT: Prof. Vanderwood has 2 reviews and 1 coming; he hopes to have more to report next month.

F. Proposal for Fully Employed M.B.A. (FEMBA) Program at UC Riverside – Lead Reviewer Ruth Mulnard (UCI)

REPORT: Prof. Mulnard provided an overview and summarized her findings and recommended CCGA approval at this time.

ACTION: Rachael asked folks to review the report and submit vote by email by COB Thursday.

G. Proposal for a Graduate Program in Feminist Studies leading to the Ph.D. Degree at UC Santa Cruz – Lead Reviewer Alan Buckpitt (UCD)

REPORT: Prof. Buckpitt provided an overview and summarized her findings and recommended CCGA approval at this time

ACTION: CCGA voted (11-0-1) to approve the proposal.

H. Proposal for a Graduate Program in Computational Biology leading to the M.S. and Ph.D. Degrees at UC Berkeley – Lead Reviewer Andrew Chisholm (UCSD)

REPORT: Prof. Chisholm discussed issues that have come up so far by reviewers. He hopes to have report ready for next time.

I. Proposal for a Graduate Program leading to the M.S. Degree in Biotechnology Management at UC Irvine – Lead Reviewer Donald Mastronarde (UCB)

REPORT: Prof. Mastronarde provided an overview and summarized her findings and recommended CCGA approval at this time

ACTION: CCGA voted (11-0-1) to approve the proposal.
J. Proposal for a Graduate Program leading to the M.S. and Ph.D. Degrees in Energy at UC Davis – Lead Reviewer Dan Arovas (UCSD)

REPORT: There was nothing new report since last meeting. Rachael updated CCGA; she will be asking for a CCGA member to volunteer to work with Dan on the proposal.

Adjournment:
The meeting was adjourned at 3:15 p.m.

Attest: Rachael Goodhue, CCGA Chair
Prepared by Eric Zárate, Committee Analyst
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