

Minutes of Videoconference

Wednesday, January 4, 2017

Item
<p>I. Consent Calendar</p> <p>A. Approval of the Agenda and Approval of the Minutes of November and December 2016 <u>Action Requested:</u> <i>The agenda was approved as noticed. The minutes will follow under separate cover for approval.</i></p> <p>II. Chair's Report - Chair Kwai Ng</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Academic Council Meeting • Academic Planning Council <p>The Chair said that the governor is going to announce the state budget next week. The report from some staff is that the base adjustment is going to happen and that funding would be different to address the need for UCRP funding – those two items seem optimistic.</p> <p>UC's request for tuition increase to the Regents it is very modest. It translates to \$282 per student per year. Consultation is underway regarding LSOE; perhaps that title should be changed to reflect what people are actually doing (e.g. Teaching Professor). Different campuses hold different opinions. The committee discussed the various ramifications of LSOE title at length.</p> <p>III. Consultation with Academic Senate Leadership <i>Jim Chalfant, Academic Council Chair</i> <i>Shane White, Academic Council Vice Chair</i> The Council Chair expressed his appreciation for the rapid nursing school review.</p> <p>The Regents are talking about limiting non-resident (including international student) enrollment. There is a good deal of political furor about non-resident enrollment. The University could perhaps focus on the broader value of students coming here besides the revenue they bring to UC. Most of the talk seems to be getting the most heavily-subscribed campuses below 20 percent non-resident enrollment. In January there will be a conversation about raising tuition two percent for in-state students and five percent for non-residents.</p> <p>Chair Chalfant informed the committee about an upcoming long-range planning effort of which he will be part. He encouraged interested members to enquire at the campus level regarding how to be involved. He emphasized that these efforts would provide a <u>framework</u> for the future, not a plan <i>per se</i>.</p> <p>IV. Vice Chair's Report – Vice Chair Karen Duderstadt The first discussion was about the post-doctoral scholar dispute. UAW withdrew their charge of prejudice and it was settled with the Regents. Committee discussion: the graduate survey and how and when to share information. It needs to be transparent but appropriate. Committee discussion: graduate applications and what questions should be collected regarding gender identity/expression. Is there a reason we need to know this. Art Ellis came and talked about fostering diverse climate for post docs.</p>

V. Announcements from Academic Affairs

Pamela Jennings, Graduate Studies Director

Chris Procello, Academic Planning and Research Analyst

The Director noted that there are two openings in the Department of Research and Graduate Studies: Deputy to the Vice President and Senior Analyst.

She informed the committee that ORGS will be presenting an item at the upcoming Regents' meeting about graduate student support for diverse careers.

VI. Proposals Carried Over from 2015-16

- A. Proposal to establish a new MS/PhD in Environment and Sustainability on the Los Angeles campus – *Lead Reviewer Michael Dawson*

Action Taken: *The proposal was approved 8-0-1.*

- B. Proposal to establish a new Professional Graduate Degree Program in Nursing Leading to the Doctor of Nursing Practice [SSGPDP] on the San Francisco Campus - *Lead Reviewer Mike Coffey*

Action Taken: *After much discussion, it was determined that the proposal might be put forward for an email vote later in January following resolution of outstanding concerns.*

VII. Program Proposals

- A. Proposal to establish a new Masters of Science in Business Analytics on the Los Angeles Campus [SSGPDP] – *Lead Reviewer David Min*

Action Taken: *The proposal was approved 8-0-1.*

- B. Proposal to establish a new Masters of Business Analytics on the Irvine Campus [SSGPDP] – *Lead Reviewer Phil Kaminsky*

The final review has just come in; the proposal will be ready for a full discussion at the February meeting.

- C. Proposal to establish a new Masters of Conservation and Restoration Science on the Irvine Campus [SSGPDP] – *Lead Reviewer Donald Smith*

Three reviews are in and are all positive. The fourth review should be in soon and the proposal will be ready for a full discussion at the February meeting.

- D. Proposal to establish a new MS/PhD in Public Health on the Merced Campus – *Lead Reviewer Onye Arah*

All four reviews are in. The proposal will be ready for a full discussion at the February meeting.

- E. Proposal to establish a graduate program for the MFA degree in Social Documentation on the Santa Cruz campus. – *Lead Reviewer Ana Peluffo*

The Lead Reviewer was not present.

- F. Proposal for a Program of Professional Graduate Studies with PDST for a Master of Management offered by a new Graduate Group in Management of Innovation, Sustainability, and Technology on the Merced Campus – *Lead Reviewer Dick Arneson*

Reviews are still being submitted.

- G. Proposal for a Self-Supporting Program of Graduate Studies in Drug Development and Product Management for the Master of Science Degree at the San Diego campus [SSGPDP] – *Lead Reviewer Ramesh Balasubramaniam*
The Lead Reviewer was not present.

X. New Business: Disclosure of Sexual Orientation on Student Applications

Director Jennings remarked that there is an inquiry with OGC about the language being used; it is really important that what is communicated to applicants is what is followed. Do we want to look at the data for enrolled students vs applicants? The information might be advantageous to collect for community and inclusiveness, but not appropriate as an admission data point.

Gender identity, gender expression, sexual orientation: the goal is to have all three sets of questions included. The Chair asked that each member go back to his/her campus and determine the local practice with the goal of discussing it at the February meeting.

XI. CCGA Response: Draft PDST Policy

The committee discussed the draft policy at length. The Chair stated that he wanted to make it clear that CCGA supports the policy and that it wants PDST to be a viable option. He asked that members get any final comments to him by Friday.

The meeting adjourned at 3: 47 p.m.

Minutes written by Fredye Harms, Committee Analyst
Attest: Kwai Ng, Committee Chair