I. Approval of the agenda and minutes

*Action Taken:* The agenda and minutes were approved 8-0-0 with some corrections and changes.

II. Chair's Report

*Chair James Chalfant*

- Transfer Alignment Project
- Appointments to FDRGs (Faculty Disciplinary Review Groups)
- Other appointments

The Chair remarked that before the committee can begin to consider individual majors, it needs a statement about how Pathways get approved. He said that ACSCOTI should provide the Council with some thoughts on ADTs. He gave examples of how ADTs do not align with UC. Chair Chalfant also discussed the ADTs and Transfer Model Curriculum in relation to the Transfer Pathways. The Chair told the group that UC will need to prepare faculty representing individual majors with specific guidelines for discussions with faculty from the other segments.

Chair Chalfant informed the group that Onuttum Narayan had agreed to serve as Vice Chair. He also asked for volunteers for a few appointments: one is for the ASSIST Advisory Committee; and two FDRG (Faculty Discipline Review Group) members. Chair Chalfant volunteered for the Anthropology major and is seeking an Anthropologist to join the group. The group also needs a representative from History.

III. Authority Over UC Transfer Pathways and Proposed Review Procedures

*Chair James Chalfant*

The Chair asked if there were any suggestions for changes to the Procedures document. He particularly sought feedback from the BOARS and UCEP representatives. He noted that the original set of Pathways were called together by invitation rather than anyone at the center reacting to a “ground-up” effort.

Members weighed in with thoughts and suggested edits. After discussion it was decided that ACSCOTI would endeavor to get the Procedures document to Council in December.

IV. Consultation with Monica Lin

- TMCs and ADTs
- C-ID
- Course Numbering Project
- ASSIST
Executive Director Lin observed that the transfer “problem” had been around for a long time. Much of what is happening this year is part of a continued attempt to improve the transfer process. When students are starting at the community college, they can easily be overwhelmed by the various options and requirements from UC and CSU. To illustrate this point, the Executive Director showed a slide deck and explained about the different transfer articulation options and compared and contrasted their applicability to the UC system: Transfer Model Curricula (TMC), UC Transfer Pathways (UCTP), Associate Degrees for Transfer (ADT), and Transfer Admission Guarantees (TAG). She also reviewed the Course Identification Numbering System (C-ID) and the Common Course Numbering (CCN) System. Finally, she discussed the ASSIST system, which is intended to provide transferable course information for students.

Committee members had questions for the Executive Director.

V. \textbf{Proposed Transfer Pathway for Data Science}
\textit{Eric van Dusen, UCB}

Professor van Dusen shared a PowerPoint presentation on a proposed Data Science Pathway. He showed the existing Computer Science Transfer Pathway and the classes in it. Part of the presentation was a chart that illustrated the requirements for Data Science on six of the UC campuses.

Professor van Dusen discussed aspects of the proposed Transfer Pathway with the committee.

VI. \textbf{Consultation with Undergraduate Admissions}
\textit{Tongshan Chang, Director, IRAP}

This Item was tabled until the next meeting.

VII. \textbf{Sociology Transfer Pathway Planning}
\textit{Chair James Chalfant}

This Item was tabled until the next meeting.

The committee adjourned at 12:18