

# UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, ACADEMIC SENATE

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

*Office of the Chair*  
*Telephone: (510) 987-9303*  
*Fax: (510) 763-0309*  
*Email: [gayle.binion@ucop.edu](mailto:gayle.binion@ucop.edu)*

*Assembly of the Academic Senate, Academic Council*  
*University of California*  
*1111 Franklin Street, 12th Floor*  
*Oakland, California 94607-5200*

August 5, 2003

**RICHARD C. ATKINSON, PRESIDENT**

Dear Dick:

In your March 21, 2003 memo regarding APM 010, you asked the Academic Council to review a proposed new Academic Freedom Statement that was drafted by Professor Robert Post at your request. Following an extensive four-month review that involved the Academic Assembly, Academic Council, Systemwide Committees, and all of the Divisions, the Academic Council, in consultation with University Committee on Academic Freedom and Professor Post, endorsed the statement below.

## **PROPOSED REVISION OF APM 010 - ACADEMIC FREEDOM**

The University of California is committed to upholding and preserving principles of academic freedom. These principles reflect the University's fundamental mission, which is to discover knowledge and to disseminate it to its students and to society at large. The principles of academic freedom guarantee freedom of inquiry and research, freedom of teaching, and freedom of expression and publication. These freedoms enable the University to advance knowledge and to transmit it effectively to its students and to the public, both inside and beyond the classroom. The University also seeks to foster in its students a mature independence of mind, and this purpose cannot be achieved unless students and faculty are free within the classroom to express the widest range of viewpoints within the standards of scholarly inquiry and professional ethics. The exercise of academic freedom entails correlative duties of professional care when teaching, conducting research, or otherwise acting as a member of the faculty. The contours of these duties are more fully set forth in The Faculty Code of Conduct (APM 015).

Academic freedom requires that teaching and scholarship be assessed only by reference to the professional standards that sustain the University's pursuit and achievement of knowledge.<sup>1</sup> The substance and nature of these standards properly lie within the expertise and authority of the faculty as a body. The competence of the faculty to apply these standards of assessment is recognized in the Standing Orders of the Regents, which establish a system of shared governance between the Administration and the Academic Senate. Academic freedom requires that the Academic Senate be given primary responsibility for applying academic standards and that the Academic Senate exercise its responsibility in full compliance with applicable standards of professional care.


Members of the faculty are entitled as University employees to the full protections of the Constitution of the United States and of the Constitution of the State of California. These protections are in addition to whatever rights, privileges and responsibilities attach to the academic freedom of university faculty.

<sup>1</sup> The original language of § 10 of the APM, which was drafted in 1934, associated academic freedom with scholarship that gave "play to intellect rather than to passion." It conceived scholarship as "dispassionate" and as concerned only with "the logic of the facts." The revised version of § 10 supersedes this standpoint. It holds that academic freedom depends upon the quality of scholarship, which is to be assessed by the content of scholarship, not by the motivations that led to its production. The revision of § 10 therefore does not distinguish between "interested" and "disinterested" scholarship; it differentiates instead between competent and incompetent scholarship. Although competent scholarship requires an open mind, this does not mean that faculty are unprofessional if they reach definite conclusions. It means rather that faculty must always stand ready to revise their conclusions in the light of new evidence or further discussion. Although competent scholarship requires the exercise of reason, this does not mean that faculty are unprofessional if they are urgently committed to a definite point of view. It means rather that faculty must form their point of view by applying professional standards of inquiry rather than by succumbing to external and illegitimate incentives such as monetary gain or political coercion. Competent scholarship can and frequently does communicate definite and politically salient viewpoints about important and controversial questions.

At its July 30, 2003 meeting, the Academic Assembly voted overwhelmingly (45 yes; 3 no) in support of this revision of APM 010.

Thank you for the opportunity to engage in this important discussion of a core value of the University. As per our previous discussion of the matter, please let me know if you wish to consider further revisions to the draft.

Cordially,



Gayle Birtion, Chair  
Academic Senate

Copy: Assembly of the Academic Senate