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I. ROLL CALL OF MEMBERS

Pursuant to the call, the Assembly of the Academic Senate met on Wednesday, February 8, 2017. Academic Senate Chair James Chalfant presided and called the meeting to order at 10:00 am. Senate Director Hilary Baxter called the roll of Assembly members and confirmed a quorum. Attendance is listed in Appendix A of these minutes.

II. MINUTES

ACTION: The Assembly approved the minutes of the April 13, 2016 meeting as noticed.

III. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR

- Jim Chalfant

Council Resolutions on Tuition and Enrollment: Council passed two resolutions in December 2016. The first resolution supports a proposed 2.5% increase to in-state undergraduate tuition approved at the January 2017 Regents meeting. It emphasizes that no student with family income below $150,000 will pay any of the increase. The second resolution anticipates the nonresident enrollment policy to be discussed by the Regents in March, and urges the Regents to reject any policy establishing a fixed numerical or percentage limit on nonresident enrollment on any campus. The resolution notes that a proposed 20% cap on nonresident enrollment would represent a large budget cut for the three UC campuses above 20% and affect financial aid on all campuses. In his January remarks to the Regents, Chair Chalfant also focused on the harm a nonresident enrollment cap would have on the UC budget. He proposed that decisions to establish caps be made on an individual campus basis, following an analysis of how resident undergraduates would or would not be disadvantaged by expansion of nonresident enrollments on that campus.

Immigration Policy Concerns: The Academic Council is aware that the national election results have increased stress and uncertainty, particularly for undocumented members of the UC community. Shortly after the election, UCOP briefed the Academic Council on the University’s efforts to protect undocumented students and the resources available to those students on each campus. In early December, Council endorsed the University’s Statement of Principles in Support of Undocumented Members of the UC Community. Council later expressed support for a statement from President Napolitano and the ten Chancellors opposing President Trump’s January 27 Executive Order barring citizens of seven Muslim-majority countries entry into the United States. Council also endorsed a longer statement originating with the University Committee on Faculty Welfare condemning the Executive Order and the harm it does to UC’s values and mission.
Systemwide Committee Business: UCEP has responded to President Napolitano’s request to review current campus policies, procedures, and best practices for student-led courses. BOARS is considering the President’s request to review its “compare favorably” policy for nonresident admission, and BOARS and UCAADE are considering her request to develop a systemwide policy on the use of Letters of Recommendation in undergraduate admissions.

IV. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PROVOST AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
   - Aimée Dorr, Provost & Executive Vice President, Academic Affairs
   - Nathan Brostrom, Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer

Framework for UC Growth and Support: The “Framework for UC Growth and Support” project seeks to develop a long-range vision for the optimal growth and support of the University. UCOP is asking each campus to prepare at least one planning scenario to the year 2040 that includes the campus’s expectation for its ultimate size and shape, and the academic, financial, and capital resources needed to achieve the vision and sustain the campus as a high-quality research institution that helps UC meet the needs of the state. The Framework is part of UC’s larger effort to develop a comprehensive systemwide financial model for its operating and capital budgets during a time of dwindling state resources. To help campuses assemble their scenarios, UCOP has provided them with a variety of demographic data, including data projecting the number of eligible CA resident high school graduates and “a-g” completion rates over time. The models project increasing demand for a UC education and increasing eligibility rates for specific groups. UCOP is encouraging campuses to incorporate creative pedagogical approaches and non-traditional uses of capital into their visioning scenarios. Final campus scenarios are due to UCOP by June 30, and further steps will be discussed at an all-campus meeting in September.

Discussion: Assembly members noted the difficulty of projecting a specific vision for the University 24 years into the future when so much is unknown about the state and university budgets. They noted that the scenarios should be grounded in reality, but the exercise is predicated on immense capital needs that are unlikely to be met. They also urged UC to engage younger faculty and others who have a greater direct stake in the UC of 2040, and not to neglect the needs of students who are currently enrolled.

Overview of Operating Budget: Governor Brown’s proposed 2017-18 budget for UC is consistent with the existing state budget framework agreement. It provides UC with a 4% base budget adjustment and the last of three installments of Proposition 2 funding for UCRP that were committed under the budget framework agreement. The budget also includes $18.5 million to support the enrollment of 2,500 new California undergraduates. It does not include UC’s request to fund graduate student enrollment growth and deferred maintenance. UC will seek a continuation of Proposition 2 funding for UCRP, and renewed support for capital projects, including seismic corrections and the deferred maintenance program. The University notes that it received $3.7 billion in state support for capital projects between 2001 and 2010, but only $342 million since 2010 and none since 2011.

Nonresident Enrollment Policy: The 2016 Budget Act requires UC to develop a policy that limits nonresident undergraduate enrollment. UCOP is working with the Regents on policy options for discussion in March. UCOP’s current preference is for a policy that permits campuses above a
20% proportion of nonresidents to remain at current levels and that allows other campuses to rise to a 20% level.

Discussion: Assembly members noted that the proposed policy would immediately harm the three campuses above 20%, would over the long term harm other campuses that wish to increase nonresident enrollment, and would also institute tiering of campuses in the form of unequal funding by establishing different caps for different campuses. A member recommended that the policy include an “escape clause” to account for the possibility of additional state cuts, and also require the Regents to revisit the policy at least every five years.

Teaching Professor Policy: UCOP is reviewing comments from the management consultation review of proposed revisions to the Lecturer with Security of Employment (LSOE) APM series, a proposed new title for faculty in the series (proposals have included “Teaching Professor” or “Professor of Teaching”), and new criteria for appointment and advancement in the series. UCOP will release the policy for a full systemwide review later this year.

Professional Degree Supplemental Tuition: UCOP is reviewing comments from the systemwide review of proposed revisions to Regents Policy on Professional Degree Supplemental Tuition (PDST). Under current policy, the president can approve any increase to a PDST up to 5%, including, as exceptions to current policy, PDST increases in which the total cost for CA resident students exceeds the total cost to students in comparable programs at other public institutions. The revisions being considered would require programs to provide a specific justification for the PDST—identifying how the revenues would be used to meet program goals—and allow programs to use both private and public comparators as a basis for a PDST, remove a fixed cap on the PDST level or proposed increase, and codify the requirement that each PDST have an approved multi-year plan. In general, reviewers accepted these revisions, and UCOP plans to incorporate comments into a final policy for Regental review and approval in March.

V. REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES

A. Academic Council
    Jim Chalfant, Chair

1. Amendments to APMs 015 and 016

At its January 18, 2017 meeting, the Academic Council voted unanimously to recommend to the Assembly the approval of proposed revisions to APM sections 015 (the Faculty Code of Conduct) and 016 (University Policy on Faculty Conduct and the Administration of Discipline. The revisions implement policy revisions recommended by the Administration-Senate Joint Committee on investigation and adjudication processes for sexual violence and sexual harassment (SVSH) cases involving faculty. Amendments proposed for APM 015 add new language explicitly prohibiting sexual violence and sexual harassment as well as language clarifying that the “three-year rule” is not a statute of limitations for complainants to report alleged violations of the Faculty Code of Conduct, but that a Chancellor must initiate related disciplinary action no later than three years after s/he is deemed to have known about an alleged violation.
The Office of Academic Personnel proposed the language and distributed it for systemwide review in September 2016, and made subsequent revisions based on Senate comments during that review. The final revisions respond to the Senate’s concerns about a proposal to shift authority to suspend the pay of a faculty member placed on involuntary leave from the Regents to the President, by leaving the current policy in place. UCOP has agreed to consider additional revisions to APM 015 and 016 proposed by Senate reviewers in a separate evaluation next year. The Assembly will consider conforming amendments to Senate Bylaw 335 and 336 at a future meeting.

**ACTION:** A motion was made and seconded to approve the amendments to APMs 015 and 016. The motion passed 49-0 in a unanimous roll call vote.

2. Amendments to Senate Bylaw 125.b

The Academic Council recommended at its October 26, 2016 meeting that Senate Bylaw 125.B, which defines the authority and duties of the Academic Council, be amended to give Council the authority to select a Senate nominee to the Health Services Committee of the Board of Regents. The authority is described in new Section 14 of 125.B. It is expected that when a vacancy appears on the Committee, Council will request nominations of candidates from the campuses and select the final nominee. Candidates must be Senate members who hold a clinical appointment at a UC School of Medicine. The successful candidate also will serve as an ex-officio member of the University Committee on Faculty Welfare Task Force on the Future of UC Health Care Plans.

An Assembly member noted that the Council should consider appointing representatives to multi-year terms to help ensure the strength and continuity of the faculty voice on the Committee.

**ACTION:** A motion was made and seconded to approve the amendments. The motion passed unanimously in a voice vote.

**B. Coordinating Committee on Graduate Affairs**

- Kwai Ng, Chair

1. **CCGA Recommendation to Approve new Doctor of Nursing Practice Degree Program at UCSF**

As required by Senate Bylaw 116.C and Standing Order of the Regents 110.1, CCGA is submitting its recommendation to the Assembly for a new Doctor of Nursing Practice (DNP) degree title and program at UC San Francisco.

The DNP is a professional practice-focused program that will be the first of its kind in the UC system. UCSF is one of only two campuses in the top ten ranked U.S. nursing schools without a DNP program. The curriculum will be offered in a hybrid format with about half of the coursework delivered online, and the program will require a capstone project supported by a three-member faculty mentor committee. The School of Nursing has a strong fundraising program that will help the program attract a competitive and diverse student population.
ACTION: A motion was made and seconded to approve the program. It passed unanimously in a voice vote.

VI. NEW BUSINESS [None]

VII. UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE ON FACULTY WELFARE REPORT [None]

VIII. SPECIAL ORDERS
   A. Consent Calendar [None]

IX. REPORTS ON SPECIAL COMMITTEES [None]

X. PETITIONS OF STUDENTS [None]

XI. UNFINISHED BUSINESS [None]

The meeting adjourned at 12:45 pm
Minutes Prepared by: Michael LaBriola, Academic Senate Analyst
Attest: Jim Chalfant, Academic Senate Chair

Attachments: Appendix A – Assembly Attendance Record, Meeting of February 8, 2017
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III. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR

- Jim Chalfant

IV. REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES

A. Academic Council

1. Nomination and Election of the Vice Chair of the 2017-18 Assembly

Senate Bylaw 110. A., which governs the election of the Vice Chair of the Assembly, states: “The Assembly elects a Vice Chair who is a Senate member from a Division other than that of the incoming Chair, to assume office the following September. The Academic Council submits a nomination. Further nominations may be made by the Assembly members from the floor, and on written petition by twenty-five Senate members. The Vice Chair also serves as Vice Chair of the Academic Council. The following year the Vice Chair becomes Chair of the Assembly and the Academic Council. Neither the Chair nor the Vice Chair may serve as a Divisional Representative.” In accordance with Bylaw 110.A, the Academic Council submits its nomination of Professor Robert C. May as 2017-2018 Vice Chair of the Assembly. Professor May was selected as the Council’s nominee at its March 22, 2017 meeting. Professor May’s qualifications and personal statement are as follows:

ROBERT C. MAY, CURRICULUM VITAE

Education

Swarthmore College, B.A. with High Honors, 1973
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Linguistics and Philosophy, Ph.D., 1977

Faculty Positions

Assistant Professor of Linguistics. Barnard College and The Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, Columbia University. 1981 - 1986.
Professor of Logic and Philosophy of Science, Linguistics and Philosophy, University of California, Irvine, 2001 - 2006.
Professor of Philosophy and Linguistics, University of California, Davis, 2006 - 2012
Distinguished Professor of Philosophy and Linguistics, University of California, Davis. 2012 - present.

University Service

UCI

Chancellor’s Faculty Advisory Committee on the UCI Medical Center. 1996 - 1997.
Health Care Facilitator Advisory Board. 1999 - 2006.
Over the next two years, and no doubt beyond, the state of California will be facing unprecedented challenges to the integrity of its institutions. For us, the faculty, the most central among these is our university, the University of California. And in my view, it will be the threat posed to its academic mission, and our ability to maintain it at the level of quality on which we insist, that will be the primary preoccupation of the Academic Senate. Thus, not only will the Academic Senate have to represent the sensibilities of the faculty in its traditional shared governance roles, it will also be faced with defending the University against the onslaught emanating from Washington. A large part of my decision to stand for vice-chair of the Academic Council stems from a desire to be part of this defense of the university, and to defend the academic values that we cherish as members of its faculty.

While it is unpredictable exactly what forms these challenges will take, we can already see some of the most important forming. One will be the status of immigrants in our community: Undocumented students, post-docs and visitors from abroad, members of the faculty from overseas, along with others who stand in support of our academic endeavors. The protection of all of these groups will be a central concern of the Academic Senate, in coordination with the central and campus administration. A second is free speech: What constitutes proper protection of this right? Are we to grant access to our public forums to all, or does the necessity of having academic merit justify limiting who may speak? In both of these areas the issues are, and will be, subtle and difficult, and it will take the focus of the deliberative aspects of the Senate to develop a position for the faculty that hews to academic values that we hold in esteem. Yet a third is health care: The impact of federal health-law changes on the University’s health care offerings will continue to require constant vigilance, lest it further erode our health benefits and/or substantially raise costs to employees. It will be very much the burden of the Senate to insure that the University not roll back central benefits, most notably in areas of women’s health, that may no longer be guaranteed by Federal statute. Last, but by no means least, the threat of major funding cuts for research poses the gravest dangers to the ability of the faculty to conduct their research and to the finances of the university, and as with prior crises, the Academic Senate will be the voice of the faculty as the university administration and the Regents move in response. No
doubt the list will be extended in ways of which currently we have but an inkling. But whatever they may be, the Senate and its leadership will be called upon to play an increasingly crucial role in maintaining and defending the university, and more generally the academic values that we bring to our profession.

To the extent that these issues materialize, they will be shared by other universities. But there are other issues that remain closer to home of on-going concern to the Senate. These are far-ranging, broadly affecting how and to whom we provide undergraduate and graduate education, and the welfare of the faculty. Speaking just of the latter, the area that has been the mainstay of my Senate participation, we can point to continuing issues regarding our health-plan offerings, pertaining to their costs, variety and administration. (An important upcoming event will be the re-bid for the Blue-and-Gold HMO plan.) Pressures will continue to arise on our medical plan premium structure, which may place in jeopardy support levels for family plans, and pay banding. Retiree health is not a vested benefit, and represents a major cost to the university. Questions may arise as to whether the campuses remain prepared to fund this benefit. The long-term health of UCRS requires constant monitoring by the Academic Senate, with oversight of management of the unfunded liability and the need to increase employer contributions of utmost importance. Child care remains woefully inadequate on many campuses, and places significant burdens on younger faculty as they strive to balance work/life issues. Important and unique issues confront health-sciences faculty in fulfilling their academic mission in the context of the clinical enterprises of the UC medical centers. The list continues, truncated only by lack of space.

Going forward, we can expect the Governor and the legislature to continue their concern with access to UC for California students, along with resistance to out-of-state students. Resistance to tuition increases will not abate, nor can we expect significant increases in state allocations to support the educational mission. Indeed, given the exigencies mentioned above, we may need to deal again with substantial decreases in state support. In response, it falls to the Senate, as the voice of the faculty, to work to bring about changes for the better, and to resist changes, from the administration, the Regents, or the state or federal government, for the worse. These contingencies demand a pragmatism in defining the realities of excellence and quality, but nevertheless the goal remains absolute. As Vice-Chair of the Academic Council, my hope is to be able to continue to work, along with my colleagues on the Council and the committees, towards this goal.

ACTION REQUESTED: Election of the 2017-2018 Assembly Vice Chair
2. Amendment to Academic Senate Regulation 630.D [ACTION]

The University Committee on Educational Policy (UCEP) recommends that Senate Regulation 630.D, governing residency requirements for the Bachelor’s Degree, be modified to recognize the Natural Reserve System (NRS) California Ecology and Conservation course as a systemwide course that can satisfy UC’s senior residence requirement along with the Education Abroad Program (EAP), UC Washington, D.C., Program (UCDC), and the UC Center in Sacramento Program (UCCS). Following a systemwide Senate review, the Academic Council recommended at its March 22, 2017 meeting that Senate Regulation 630.D, be amended as described below. The Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction has certified that the legislation is consonant with the Code of the Academic Senate.

ACTION REQUESTED: Approve Amendment to Senate Regulation 630.D.

Justification for Revisions to Senate Regulation 630.D

UC campuses require students to be “in residence” for the final quarter or semester before graduation. In accord with Senate Bylaw 170.B.3, UCEP granted systemwide approval to the UC Natural Reserve System (NRS) California Ecology and Conservation course in November 2014. Based on the application submitted to the committee, UCEP found that the NRS California Ecology and Conservation course is a systemwide program that satisfies UC’s senior residence requirement as stipulated in Senate Regulation 630. The current language of SR 630 D refers to three specific systemwide programs at UC: EAP, UCDC, and UCCS. UCEP proposes adding the NRS California Ecology and Conservation course to that list of courses to allow the course to come under the same guidelines.

Chapter 2. Requirements for the Bachelor's Degree

Article 1. General Requirements

630.

A. Except as otherwise provided in this section and SR 614, 35 (or 24 semester) of the final 45 (or 30 semester) units completed by each candidate for the Bachelor's degree must be earned in residence in the college or school of the University of California in which the degree is to be taken. (Am 9 Mar 83; Am 23 May 01)

B. When two or more campuses of the University of California have approved a joint program of study, a student enrolled in such a program may meet the Requirement stated in Paragraph A by completing the requisite number of units in courses offered at any or all of the participating campuses. The student's program of study must be approved by the Provost, Dean, or equivalent officer of the School of College in which the degree is to be awarded. (En 13 May 97; Am 10 Nov 04)

C. A further exception to the rule stated in paragraph (A) above is made in the case of students who meet the residence requirement as provided in SR 614. (Am 10 Nov 04)
D. Except when Divisional Regulations provide otherwise, a student in the Education Abroad Program, the UC Washington, D.C., Program, or the UC Center in Sacramento Program, or the NRS California Ecology and Conservation Course, which are systemwide courses, may meet the residence requirement in accordance with the following provisions: (Am 27 May 99; Am 10 Mar 04; Am 10 Nov 04)

1. A student who completes the graduation requirements while in the Education Abroad Program, the UC Washington, D.C., Program, or the UC Center in Sacramento Program, a systemwide course may satisfy the requirements stated in paragraph (A) in the final 45 (or 30 semester) units preceding the student's entrance into the Education Abroad Program, the UC Washington, D.C., Program, or the UC Center in Sacramento Program, a systemwide course. (Am 9 Mar 83; Am 10 Mar 04)

2. Subject to the prior approval of the department concerned, a student who is enrolled in the Education Abroad Program, the UC Washington, D.C., Program, or the UC Center in Sacramento Program, a systemwide course may satisfy the residence requirement by earning 35 (or 24 semester) of the final 90 (or 60 semester) units, including the final 12 (or 8 semester) units, in residence in the college or school of the University of California in which the degree is taken. (Am 7 Jun 72; Am 9 Mar 83; Am 10 Mar 04)

V. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDENT
   Janet Napolitano

VI. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PROVOST
   Aimée Dorr

VII. REPORTS ON SPECIAL COMMITTEES [NONE]

VIII. SPECIAL ORDERS [NONE]

IX. UNIVERSITY AND FACULTY WELFARE REPORT [NONE]

X. PETITIONS OF STUDENTS [NONE]

XI. UNFINISHED BUSINESS [NONE]

XII. NEW BUSINESS